FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0318			Date of visit: 20/07/2023
Time spent on site:	4hrs	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0245 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Ardintoul Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.3	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-21
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ved?	N If yes, see additional inform N If yes, see additional inform N	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.

### FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

Wrasse are wildcaught from around Gairloch, Loch Ailort, Mull and Uig and lumpfish were transferred from Ocean Matters (Anglesey).

Cleaner fish mortality since input - wrasse 25,185 (17%) and lumpfish 10,716 (6.9%).

Salmon came on from loch ailort at the beginning of 2023 and are reported to be performing well so far. Micro jellyfish were present in the water from June until last week so feeding was reduced to avoid interaction. Feeding increased again once volume of jellies had reduced.

Lep and Caligus numbers very low since last inspection. Calagus beginning to increase so site has an Alphamax treatment planned for wk29 followed by a prophylactic Slice treatment.

Live haul harvests are taken to Mallaig.

Deltafav treatment (Alphamax) was completed on Monday 17th and site manager reported a 90% clearance in calagus from the fish following an increase over the weekend. A slice treatment had begun on the day of inspection.

No moribunds were observed on the site and fish appeared in good physical health and were active in the cages. Fish sampled for VMD also appeared in good condition externally and internally upon examination and demonstrated a strong feed

FHI 059, Versior	n 13		I	ssued by: FH			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0318	]	Site No:	FS0245				
Date of Visit:		20/07/2023	l		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Au	uthorisation I	Details						
1. Business/site			by site represe	entative?			Y	
2. Changes mad		•	,				Y	
Site Details (inc	clude cleane	r fish for all s	ections)					
Total No facilitie	s	12	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilities in	spected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM					
Age group	23 Q1	Wildcaught	2023					
No Fish	1,015,506	20,686	145,199					
Mean Fish Wt	457g	40g	50g					
Next Fallow Dat	e (Site)	June 24		Next Input D	ate (Site)	June 25		
Recent (last 4 w	ks) disease p	roblems?		N	Any escapes	(since last visit	t)?	N
If yes, detail:								
<ol> <li>Date of last in</li> <li>Are records c</li> <li>Are movement</li> <li>Are records c</li> <li>Are records c</li> <li>Are health ce</li> </ol> Transport Record <ol> <li>Are any move</li> <li>If yes, is there a</li> </ol>	omplete and on the records avain omplete and or trificates for in ords ements carried	ilable for dead correctly enter ntroductions (c	d fish and was ed? outwith GB) av behalf) of the	vailable? e business (no	-	3)?	08/02/2022	Y Y N/A
Mortality Reco	rds							
1. Mortality reco	rds available t	for inspection	?					Y
2. How are mort	alities dispose	ed of?			Ensiled - on a	site		
		plifted and tak		ss or Dundas				
3. Mortality reco	•		entered?					Y
4. Recent morta	• •	•		(0.18%) wk26	5: 2203 (0.22%	6) wk25: 1645	(0.16%) wk24	4: 1957
5. Evidence of r								N
If yes, facility no	s/no mortality	per facility/no	stock per fac	ility/reason:				
	<b></b>							
6. Any other pea								Y
						2022: 1.54%, W	Vk8 2023: 3.02	
7. Have increase	• •	ea) mortalities	been reporte	ed to vet or FF	11?			N/A
If yes, detail acti								
8. Have 'mortalit	ty events' bee	n reported to	-HI? If no, en	ter details on	mortality ever	its sheet.		Y


.. .

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S, DeltaFav (AlphaMax), Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S, DeltaFav (AlphaMax), Slice	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). Suspected bacterial infection and HSMI but	it not
Records checked between: 08/02/2022 - 13/07/23	

FHI 059, Version 13

Case Number:	2023-0318	Site No:	FS0245	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	20/07/2023	No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	C			26	0
	Number of suppliers	C	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	C	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	C	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	C				
diseases)	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	s C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	C				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8	5			
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own waste only processed.	C				
products	Common processes with other farms	3	5			3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	C	1			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity	Number of sites	s 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	C	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	C	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes	C				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No	1	]			
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes	C				0
code of practice	No	3	,			
Platform access to cages	Yes	C				0
	No	2				
				Total		19
				Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue:	12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0318		Site No:	FS0245	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawate	er Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experienced sea		ious 4 vears?			N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Managem		-	single vear cl	ass basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to					Y
azamethiphos and emamectin					
can these be deployed in a rea	sonable period of time?				
4. Is there a signed documente Area (or equivalent)?	d farm management agree	ement or statement relevant	to the site and	d CoGP Farm Management	Υ
5. Are sea lice count records av	vailable for inspection? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			Y
6. Do records adequately reflect			oGP? (Legal S	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) re records are inspected? (CoGP		gested criteria for treatment i	in the CoGP d	luring the period that	N
8. Have average adult female s or above (from w/b 10/6/19) du		-	of 3 or above	(prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2	Y
If yes, have these been reporte	d to the Fish Health Inspe	ctorate? If no, FHI see comr	ment.		Y
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation a	t a level which is considere	ed to cause significant welfa	re problems?	(CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatment suggested criteria for treatment					Y
11. Has any other action been			•	· · · ·	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatment		a significant impact upon th	e lice levels re	ecorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where cond					Y
14. Is there a harvesting strated lice?	gy for the site, where fewer	r populations or part populat	tions are held	without treatment for sea	Y
15. Is there a site specific writte scenarios during the escalation		dure with waypoints describi	ing set actions	s to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels obser	rved on stocks reflect sea	lice count data? If no please	e detail reason	IS.	Y
Containment Inspection	in the second				N
1. Has the site experienced equ		•	•	ion cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mit		Texperienced on site? (Deta	all below)		ř
Sealpro nets, top nets, tensi If other, detail below:	oned hets.				
<ol> <li>Have escape incidents or ev</li> </ol>	vents been experienced or	n or in the vicinity of the site	since the last	FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4	I – 9. If No skip to question	n 10			
4. Have these been reported to	Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been reported to	•	- / /			
6. Have these been reported to	the SSPO and local fisher	ries trusts forthwith (where th	hey exist)? (C	oGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used	to recover escapees? If ye	es give detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed wa	s this action agreed with lo	ocal wild fish interests and w	as permission	given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP - 4.4.					
9. What action was taken to pre	event and minimise the risl	k of further escapes? (Not co	overed in code	e but could	
be considered under satisfa	ctory measures of the A	Act)			
10. Is the site inspected as sati	sfactory with regards to co	ontainment? If no, please det	tail reason(s)		Y



Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or individual far	ms? Y
<b>Fallowing</b> 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by date when a farm or area may be restocke	which the area or individual farm will be fallow and th	e earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	or more year classes may be stocked onto sites cove	ered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broc covered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any	site Y
<b>Point of Compliance for Farm Managem</b> 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to become, or cea	ase to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or statemer the FMAg/S?	nt? Y

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13							Issued I	by: FHI			
Case no:	2023-03	818 8	Site No:		FS0245			of visit/	20/	/07/2023	20/(
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		Sam MG	pling: H		]	
Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		nspector	:		VMD N	0.	19
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	Ę	5	]	
	LUCT		BA		MC		VI	PA		Total Sa	amples
Summary samples	HIST		DA		MG		VI		`		ampioo
Add Fish/Pools - click	нізт		ВА		MG				۷ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		
Add Fish/Pools - click									۲ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>		
Add Fish/Pools - click		F6-10 F		F16-19							
Add Fish/Pools - click		F6-10 F		F16-19							
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos	F1-5										
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group	F1-5 SAL	SAL S	F11-15 SAL	F16-19							
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species	F1-5 SAL 457g	SAL \$ 457g 4	F11-15 SAL	F16-19 SAL							
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight	F1-5 SAL 457g N/A	SAL 5 457g 4 N/A 1	F11-15 SAL 457g	F16-19 SAL 457g							

Loch Ailort

11

2

Loch Ailort

12

Loch Ailort

5

Loch Ailort

Stock Origin Stock Origin Facility No

)7/2023	7/2023 Additional Sample Information:													
	Fish humanely dispacthed by percussive blow.													
0 Total Tests assigned 0														
														_

Site No: FS0245

Case No: 2023-0318

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0318			Date of visit:	20/07/2023			
Site No:	FS0245	]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
·	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
			-					
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	24/07/2023							
	ļ							



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119

 SITE NO
 FS0245

 CASE NO
 20230318

DATE OF VISIT20/07/2023SITE NAMEArdintoulINSPECTORImage: Constraint of the second se

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 24/07/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)