FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2023-0370			Date of visit: 29/08/2023					
Time spent on site: 4.	5 hrs	Main Inspecto	or:					
Site No: FS0903 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Swining Voe 3 Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A					
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-4					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	ason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Only 3 pens currently stocked with locally caught wild wrasse but remaining pens will be stocked in the coming months. Weights range from 22g to 336g. Wrasse first brought on site week 34 (21/08) 2023.

Stock on site looking in good condition with only 1 lethargic fish observed. Fish removed for vmd sampling showed no clinical signs of disease or gross pathology.

Three pieces of information found to be missing from the FMS:

Circumstances under which biological controls and cleanerfish are to be used.

Dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked.

Whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement.

This information was however available in the sites VHWP and husbandry manual.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0370		Site No:	FS0903	3			
Date of Visit:		29/08/2023	3		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to		•	·				N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRS		1			1	
Age group	2022 S0	wild				_		
No Fish	528,572	5,321						
Mean Fish Wt								
	1.93kg	0.08kg		Novt Input De	oto (Cito)	Con/Oct 2	024	
Next Fallow Date (S		May 2024		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Sep/Oct. 2		N.
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probi	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last	VISIT) ?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe	ction:						21/07/2021	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	?					N
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records							·	
-		t by (or on bo	half) of the hu	uningga (ngt ug	ing a STP\2			N
1. Are any movemen		• •		•	•			1.0
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place i	or maintenan	ce or transpor	tation records	f			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed d	f?			Incinerated -	Shetland W	aste to Energ	Jy
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
	·	•	Wk 31 - 302	(0.01%), wk 3	2 - 460 (0.1%), wk 33 -64	6 (0.1%), wk	34 - 300
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		(0.1%)	(,,	- (,,	
5. Evidence of recer	•	tvpical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no enter	details on mort	tality events st	neet		Y
c a. o mortality cv	2	F 5.1.5G 15 1 1 11	, 511161	23.2				

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: Slice, T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Slice, T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Diagramity Dagarda	
Biosecurity Records	V
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	1
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	'
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 27/07/2021 - 29/08/2023	
1\600103 0160\60 D6\W6611.	

Case no: Priority said Time sample starts/ends Environme Summary Add Fish/Po Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grout Species									sued by:				
Time samy starts/ends Environme Summary Add Fish/Po Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grou		2023-03	370	Site No:		FS0903			Date of Samplin		29/0	08/2023	29/0
starts/ends Environme Summary Add Fish/Po Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grou	mples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI			
Add Fish/Po Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grou	s:		0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. 	20
Add Fish/Po Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grou	ental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish Fish nos Pool Grou	samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Fish nos Pool Grou													
Pool Grou	110	1	2	3	4								
	n		_	J	T								
OUCUES	Υ	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
Average w	veiaht	1.9300		1.9300									
Sex	3	N/A		N/A	N/A								
Water Typ	е	SW	SW	SW	SW								
Stock Original Facility No		Gletness (FS1099)	ത Gletness (FS1099)	ω Gletness (FS1099)	Gletness (FS1099)								

08/2023 Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0370		Site No:	FS0903		Insp:	
Date of Visit	29/08/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	,			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
· ·	No		2				-
				1			
					Total Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0370	Site No:	FS0903
3. Does the site have access to a range of	or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single your equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single you licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanisms.	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm man	nagement agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for 6. Do records adequately reflect the requir	inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ed standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
	salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a eriod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	h Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ich is considered to cause significant welfare proble	N/A N N
suggested criteria for treatment or where (11. Has any other action been taken (when 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the act 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carr	ninistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis I C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication re applicable)? ions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levied out in cooperation between participating farms? te, where fewer populations or part populations are	vels recorded? N/A N/A Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana	agement procedure with waypoints describing set a ce infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stor	cks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons.
	mage due to predators in the current or previous pro st the predation experienced on site? (Detail below) p Nets, Tensioned Nets	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No s 4. Have these been reported to Scottish M 5. Have these been reported to local DSFE		17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and n be considered under satisfactory mea	n agreed with local wild fish interests and was perminimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in sures of the Act) h regards to containment? If no, please detail reasons	n code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0370	Site No: FS0903	
Date of Visit: 29/08/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comp	letion.	
 Has a current farm management agr Is the current FMAg/S available for in Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fance 	Int farm management area? Irm site(s) to which it applies? If commencement of the agreement or staten	ed? Y Y Y Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccin 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec	um health standards for the stocks to be intro nation requirements for stocks held in the area ies of fish which may be stocked into the area	a or farm? Y Y Y Y
individual farm?	mum stocking density of any pen on any farm ngements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangen	of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
lice on farms in the area or individual fa		
used on farms in the area or individual		d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circu area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	ngements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Υ
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?	N
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only	
parties to the agreement?	N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 08/07/2023	Υ
FMS doesn't specify circumstances for bringing cleanerfish onto site but it does in the VHWP. FMS doesn't inc for fallow periods but is in the sites VHWP. FMS also doesn't include whether it's a single yearclass area or no the Salmon Husbandry Manual.	

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS0903

Case No: 2023-0370

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0370			Date of visit:	29/08/2023	3					
Site No:	FS0903	1		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary											
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	12/09/2023										
Case competion	13/10/2023										
	1										
	Ì										



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125
 DATE OF VISIT
 29/08/2023

 SITE NO
 FS0903
 SITE NAME
 Swining Voe 3

 CASE NO
 20230370
 INSPECTOR

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 12/10/2023. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 13/10/2023
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 29/08/2023

 Site No
 FS0903
 Site Name
 Swining Voe 3

 Case No
 20230370
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

R25

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS numbers must be recorded in the source/destination section of the movement record book, to allow for better traceability of stocks. The source site is to be recorded for movements onto site while the final destination is to be recorded for movements off of site. It was discussed with the site representative that this would be recorded in future. No further action is required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

The farm management statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 12/10/2023. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

- The management of parasites⁽²⁾
 This must include arrangements for the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
 This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered.

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*