FHI 059, Version 13	Issi	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0459			Date of visit: 04/10/2023
Time spent on site: 5h	1	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0234 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Torridon Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.6	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-17
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	y If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Salmon Peaks in mortalities 2022 wk 42 5850 (1.34%) gill health and HSMI. Wk 43 5028 (1.17%) gill health and HSMI, wk 48 4186 (1.65%) CMS, wk49 10473 CMS/thermolicer (4.67%), wk 52 1788 (1.23%) CMS.

Salmon Peaks in mortalities 2023 wk 1 1952 (1.73%) CMS, wk 2 1372 (1.79%) CMS, wk 3 1506 (2%)CMS/thermolicer, wk 20 2374 (1.77%) FW treatment handling, wk 26 1167 (1.19%) CMS.

Salmon morts for the last 4 weeks, wk 39 2490 (% not provided) wk 38 2648 (0.28%), wk 37 4580 (0.48%), wk 36 5844 (0.60%) all attributed to nephrocalcinosis.

Lumpfish input week 39, mortalities recorded as 255 due to transport losses.

Wrasse mortality for the last four weeks wk 39 1777 (3.08%), wk 38 1787 (3.01%), wk 37 1612 (3.03%), wk 36 750 (1.65%), no diagnosis.

Lumpfish peaks in mortality 2022 wk 21 4118 (8.30%)handling/ no diagnosis, wk 22 6312 (8.01%) Handling, wk 28 10831 (9.74%) transport losses, wk 29 14106 (14.05%) without diagnosis, wk 30 8104 (9.39%) without diagnosis, wk 31 8738 (11.17) without diagnosis/other infectious diseases.

Wrasse peaks in mortality 2022 wk 45 2806 (9.17%) without diagnosis, wk 46 2698 (9.71%) without diagnosis,, wk 47 2051 (8.17%) without diagnosis,, wk 48 3029 (13.15%) without diagnosis, wk 49 1789 (8.94%) without diagnosis, wk 50 1530 (8.40%) without diagnosis,, wk 51 1169 (7%) without diagnosis.

Mortalities are ensiled and if PH (3-3.4)is correct it goes to Scanbio in Inverness, if other PH goes to Energen.

Last cycle issues with gill health.

Some dead wrasse observed in 2 pens. 2 moribund salmon noted but away from edge of cage so not removed. Poor visibility due to weather conditions.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0459]	Site No:	FS0234	4			
Date of Visit:		04/10/202	23		Inspector(s)):		
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			site representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•						Υ	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	sh for all se	ctions)					
		12, 120m						
Total No facilities		circles	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM					
Age group	Q3 2023	wild mix	2023					
No Fish	949,748	60,150	57392					
Mean Fish Wt	232g	N/A	42g					
Next Fallow Date (S		Feb 2025		Next Input Da		Q3 2025		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Y Any escape	s (since last v	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	nephrocalcir	nosis, some	adhesions, poo	or doers				
. Dunand								
Movement Record								V
1. Movement record		or inspection	?				127/2000	ĭ
2. Date of last inspe							11/05/2022	
3. Are records comp	•	•						У
4. Are movement re								У
5. Are records comp	•	•		-1-1-0				y N/A
6. Are health certific	cates for introc	Juctions (ou	twith GB) availa	Able?				TV//
Transport Records	s							
1. Are any moveme		ut by (or on b	behalf) of the bu	usiness (not u	sing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a sys					-			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly e	ntered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•			al information				
5. Evidence of recei	•	atypical mort						Y
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:				
see additional inforr								
6. Any other peaks		9 1						Y
If yes, detail:	see addition							
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities b	peen reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FI	HI? If no, enter	details on mo	rtality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: SLICE, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE and TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	· ·
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	У
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	У
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	У
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	,
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	У
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	У
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	sis.
Pacards chacked between: 11/5/2022 to 5/10/2023	

ords checked between: 11/5/2022 to 5/10/2023

Г	mi 059, version 15							158	ued by.				
	Case no:	2023-04	159	Site No:		FS0234			Date of		04/	0/2023	04/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	11:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	F1-3	F4-6	F7-11	F12-17								
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	200g	200g	200g	200g								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
<u>U</u>		SS	လ္သ	Ness	SS								
Details		Š	Se l	Š	Š								
		och Ness	Loch Ness	Loch	Loch Ness								
Stock	Stock Origin	_											
ţĊ.	Facility No	7	3	9	8								
_													

10/2023 Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													
														_

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0459		Site No:	FS0234		Insp:	
Date of Visit	04/10/2023		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or cluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of supp	-	0	_		14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	ovements off	0	3	6	10	10
Wovernerne on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10	,	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Total Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0459	Site No:	FS0234
3. Does the site have access to a range of		ncluding deltamethrin,
and can these be deployed in a reasonable	e period of time?	
Management Area (or equivalent)?	agement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for it6. Do records adequately reflect the require	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ed standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	below the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L.</i> s 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	calmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or eriod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	h Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ch is considered to cause significant welfare prob	V Polems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the acti 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carri	inistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implicate applicable)? ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice led out in cooperation between participating farms te, where fewer populations or part populations are	evels recorded? Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana recognised scenarios during the escalation	agement procedure with waypoints describing set of a sea lice infestation?	actions to deal with Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stoo	cks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
	nage due to predators in the current or previous p at the predation experienced on site? (Detail below ets.	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No s 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mi 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB		4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover e	escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and m be considered under satisfactory measured.	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perminimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered sures of the Act) n regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	in code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0459	Site No: FS0234	
Date of Visit: 04/10/2023	Inspector:	
Sale 01 VISIL. 04/10/2023	mapeotor.	
Point of Compliance	th: f	
1. Is the farm under inspection located with		Y
f N, no further questions require completi	ion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M	lanagement Agreements and Statements	<u></u>
	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	ed?
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp		Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant to Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 		V
	commencement of the agreement or statem	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	——————————————————————————————————————	У
Arrangements for Fish Health Manager	ment	
	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or
arm?		
	ion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the area um stocking density of any pen on any farm	
ndividual farm?	and stocking density of any period any fami	Till the area of the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any fish y
farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	nts for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14 Does the FMAg/S identify the available	ility and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
of statement?	inty dried disc of modifices on farms solv	y are agreement
	ments for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
ice on farms in the area or individual farm		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual far	stances under which biological controls and	
	ements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements	stances when live fish may be introduced a	r removed from the
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	
ndividual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable I	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managern 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becon	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or f the FMAg/S? 09/09/2022	statement? y

Case No:	2023-0459			Date of visit:	04/10/2023	3					
Site No:	FS0234]		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification							
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	_										
			-								
	_		-								
	+										
Report Summary			1	1							
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
Case Type ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	10/10/2023		Z INSP								
201,0111,021,11112	10/10/2020										
	4										
	+										
	+										



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 04/10/2023

 SITE NO
 FS0234
 SITE NAME
 Torridon

 CASE NO
 20230459
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

R25

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

UKAS accredited testing laboratory No. 1964
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel – 0131 244 3498 Email – ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements, containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 10/10/2023
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)