FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2023-0520			Date of visit: 04/12/2023			
Time spent on site:	n	Main Inspecto	or:			
Site No: FS1024 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Toyness Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 CNA	2 ESC 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 8.7	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: S	CoGP MA O-3			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:				

#### **Additional Case Information:**

No fish health issues in the last four weeks. Passive grading just completed some physical damage. Furunc had been identified on site but no issues in the last four weeks.

Last inspection 13/9/2023.

Escapes information: 22/10/2023 a seal was observed in pen 10, divers were on site to conduct a site containment check due to three continuous days of poor weather. Some time given to see if the seal would leave by itself but this did not occur so divers entered the cage and patched the hole which was 5 or 6 meters down and was 40 x 40 cm. It took 1 hour, from the first observation of the seal to the pen being made secure. The fish were shoaling well and no escapees observed so not thought that any escapes would have occurred. No subsequent decrease in appetite noted for the pen.

Newly serviced nets have been ordered and a complete replacement will occur when boats are available. The nets are sapphire nets. The site is to have 120m pens installed at the end of this cycle which is why brand new nets are not being

Movement records were to be inspected in the office following the offshore part of the CNA but issues with a rope snagging the prop of the work boat delayed the return to shore, copies have been requested to be emailed.

Issues raised, movement records were provided 19/1/2024.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issue	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/202	20
Case No: 2023-0520	Site	No:	FS1024					
Date of Visit:	04/12/2023			Inspector(s):			1	
Registration/Authorisation Det	tails							
1. Business/site details summary	checked by site re	presenta	tive?			Υ	1	
2. Changes made to details?						Υ	1	
Site Details (include cleaner fi	sh for all sections)	)						
Total No facilities		lities stoc	ked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	3	
Species SAL						1		
Age group 2022 s0								
No Fish 258,000								
Mean Fish Wt 4kg								
Next Fallow Date (Site)	May/June 2024		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Septembe	r 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob				Any escapes				N
If yes, detail: Gill health				γ	(1 11 111	- "		
Movement Records								
Movement records available for	or inspection?							N
Date of last inspection:	or inspection:					13/09/2023		
Are records complete and cor	ractly antarad?					13/09/2023	N/	/Δ
Are movement records availal	•	l wasto?					N/	
<ol> <li>Are records complete and cor</li> </ol>		wasie:					N/	
6. Are health certificates for intro		R) availa	hle?				N/	
o. Are neally certificates for intro	ductions (outwith o	b) avalla	DIG:				14/	
Transport Records								
1. Are any movements carried or	ut by (or on behalf) o	of the bus	siness (not usi	ing a STB)?				
If yes, is there a system in place	for maintenance of	transpor	tation records'	?				
Mortality Records								
Mortality records available for	inspection?							У
2. How are mortalities disposed	•			Other (detail)				Ť
	ot sure but CNA cas	e only so		(1111)				
3. Mortality records complete and								Υ
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):			four week gill	health and ph	vsical dama	age		
5. Evidence of recent increased/			<u> </u>		,	3 -		Ν
If yes, facility nos/no mortality pe	* *		reason:					
6. Any other peaks in mortality d	uring period checks	d2						Ν
If yes, detail:	aring period checke	u:						
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities been re	ported to	vet or FHI?				N/	/Α
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality events' been re	eported to FHI? If no	o, enter c	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/	Ά

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?						
If yes, detail:						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?						
Biosecurity Records						
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed of	disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to mini	mise					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?						
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
Records checked between: 13/9/2023 to 4/12/2023						

Case No: 2023-0520 FS1024 Site No: Date of visit: 04/12/2023 Inspector(s): Point of compliance Risk level Satisfactory? Requirement Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary **ENHANCED CONTAINMENT INSPECTION (SEAWATER)** a. Enquiry relating to i) escape incidents and ii) contingency procedures 1.1. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last MSS inspection? If yes answer 1.2-1.8: 1.2. Have appropriate reports been made to Scottish Government High AAAH Regs<sup>4</sup> 31D,E within 24 hours of discovery? Medium CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17 Not sure if this has been reported, to be confirmed 1.3. Have these been reported to the SSPO<sup>2</sup> and, where in existence, the local DSFB and fisheries trust? 1.4. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? no escapes thought to have occurred If yes give detail N/A 1.5 Was the decision to attempt to recapture and the method Low CoGP 4.4.38, 5.4.18 employed agreed with the local DSFB and FT 1.6. Was permission sought from Marine Scotland prior to Medium N/A CoGP 4.4.38, 5.4.18 recapture? 1.7 Were the gill nets deployed in accordance with the permission N/A CoGP 4.4.38, 5.4.18 Low issued by Marine Scotland? 1.8. In light of the escape event, has appropriate action been taken High Hole was patched immediately by divers and a full site subsurface to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? containment inspection was conducted. 1.9. Is there a site specific contingency plan in response to failures High SSI, 2,9 in containment, aimed at preventing escapes and recovering escaped fish? b(i). Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and the site General records CoGP: 4.4.9, 4.4.14, SSI 2.1 2.1 With regard to each facility, net, screen and mooring at each site, a record should be maintained of:-Facilities Moorings Nets a) The name of the manufacturer Low b) Any special adaptations Low c) The name of the supplier Low d) The date of purchase Low

e) Each inspection including

N N N N/A N/A  y y Y Y Y	y y y y y SSI, 2,2	y Y y y	Daily checks are conducted by site staff but issues are reported verbally and deal with adhoc  Some bird net issues had been reported.  Depth to sea bed is known as is the depth of nets but no record of this is maintained.
N N/A N/A y y	SSI, 2,3	Y y y	Some bird net issues had been reported.  Depth to sea bed is known as is the depth of nets but no record of
N N/A N/A y y	SSI, 2,3	y y y	Depth to sea bed is known as is the depth of nets but no record of
N/A y y y	SSI, 2,3	у	Depth to sea bed is known as is the depth of nets but no record of
у у у	SSI, 2,3	У	· ·
y y y N N	SSI, 2,3		,
y y y N Y Y	SSI, 2,3		,
y y N N Y Y	SSI, 2,3		· ·
y N N Y Y			,
Y Y Y			· ·
Y Y Y			,
Y Y Y			
Y Y Y			
Y Y			
Υ	SSI, 2,4		
	SSI, 2,4		
V			
V			
V			
n	SSI, 2,5		
	SSI, 2,6		
N/A			
NI/A			
N/A			
N/A			
N/A			
	SSI, 2,7		
N/A	SSI, 2,11 (a)		None has occurred
N/A	SSI, 2,11 (b)		
N	CoGP 4.4.8, 4.	4.13	
N	CoGP 4.4.9, 4.	4.14	
	N/A	N/A SSI, 2,11 (b)  N CoGP 4.4.8, 4.	N/A SSI, 2,11 (b)  CoGP 4.4.8, 4.4.13

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
2.10 Do pen systems meet the manufacturers guidelines?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.10	Standard pen and grid
2.11 Are pen systems inspected and approved by suitably qualified / experienced person(s)?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.11	
2.12 Is there evidence of the competence of personnel involved in the design, installation and maintenance of pen and mooring systems?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.12, 4.4.15	Moorings inspected by Roving Eye, maintenance is then completed by Inverlussa or Ocean farm services. Moorings are designed by Gael force
2.13 Are pen and mooring components inspected with a) a documented SOP b) a documented inspection plan based on a risk assessment	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.16	
2.14 Do all nets used on site meet industry standards?	High	V	CoGP 4.4.17	
2.15 Can the site demonstrate an awareness of the minimum fish size in relation to net size	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.19	
2.16 Does the net design, quality and standard of manufacture take into account the conditions that are likely to be experienced on site and include adequate safety margins?	High	у	CoGP 4.4.20	
2.17 Are nets treated with a UV inhibitor?	Low	n	CoGP 4.4.21	
2.18 Are nets tested at a pre-determined frequency?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.22	
2.19 Is the method of test procedure based upon the manufacturers advice?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.22	
2.20 Are frequent net inspections conducted to look for damage?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	
2.21 Are net inspection records maintained?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.23	Dive records
2.22 Is the system by which nets are attached to the pen and weighted inspected frequently?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.24	Dive records
2.23 Where damage to nets and/or associated fittings has occurred, or the potential for damage exists, has remedial action been taken?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.25	Dive records
h/ii) leavestion of records relation to training				
b(ii). Inspection of records relating to training				
3.1 Are training programmes and plans relevant to the various onsite activities documented?	High	N	CoGP 7.1.8	Issues accessing documents
3.2 Is there a satisfactory record of all training and qualifications for each person working at the site in relation to any boat operations? (This excludes well boat operations)	High	N	SSI 2,6,a	Issues accessing documents
3.5 With respect to any transfer of or handling of fish is there a record of all training of each person working on site in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish?	High	N	SSI 2,7,a	Issues accessing documents

FITH 009, VEISION 13		10000	ы Бу. ЕП	Date of Issue. 12/0
Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
b(iii). Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk asse	essments			
4.1 Are procedures which could increase the risk of fish escaping considered to be carefully planned and supervised to minimise risk?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.29, 5.4.12	
4.2 Before procedures are conducted on site, are the following in place:			CoGP 4.4.30, 5.4.13 SSI 2,7, b , SSI 2, 8, c	
a) a documented risk assessments	High	у		
b) standard operating procedures	High	У		
c) contingency plan	High	У		
4.3 In relation to any boat operations at each site at which fish are farmed is there a record of				
-The type and size of each boat used for operations on the site	Low	Υ	SSI 2,6,b	
- The type and size of any propeller guard fitted to each boat used on the site	Low	Υ	SSI 2,6,c	
4.4 Does the site suffer from regular or heavy predation?		n		
4.5 Are there records of site specific risk assessments ascertaining the risk of predator attack?	Medium	Y	CoGP 4.4.26	
4.6 Are there risk assessments undertaken on a pre-determined frequency?	Low	Y	CoGP 4.4.26	
4.7 A record of any anti-predator measures undertaken at each site at which fish are farmed including:			SSI, 2,8,a	
The type and location of each net, fence and scarer deployed	Medium	Υ		Bird nets on each cage
- The use of lethal means by any person involved in operations on the site	Low	N/A	SSI, 2,8,b	
4.8 Where predator nets are deployed is the advice of Annex 7 considered?	Low	N/A	CoGP 4.4.27	
c. Inspection of site and site equipment				
5.1 Are there any obvious containment issues on the site?	High	n		
5.2 Is the net mesh size considered to be capable of containing all fish sizes present on site?	High	У	CoGP 4.4.18	

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary
5.3 Do nets carry numbered ID tags?	Low	У	SSI 2,2 ii	
Look at a percentage of nets on site - Does the net location meet the inventory?	Low	У		
5.4 Are nets stored away from direct sunlight?	Low	У	CoGP 4.4.21	
5.6 Are appropriate measures in place to mitigate predation on site? (Provide detail if necessary)		У		Froya rings, top nets
5.7 Are boat operations conducted in such a manner which prevents damage to nets and pens?	High	Υ	CoGP 4.4.28	
5.8 Is there a requirement for navigation markers to be deployed?	Low	Y	MSA <sup>5</sup> 2010 P4, S21	
5.9 If yes, has this been done in accordance with the necessary requirements?	Low	Y	MS Marine licence	
5.10 If Yes to 5.8 is there a record of any navigation markers deployed?	Low	N	SSI 2,5	
d. Inspection of site specific procedures				
6.1 Are pen nets examined for holes, tears or damage prior to and during the stocking, moving or crowding of fish?	High	Y	CoGP 4.4.31	
6.2 If helicopter transfer of fish is conducted are receiving pen(s) properly prepared:-			CoGP 4.4.32	
a) nets should be secure	High	N/A		
b) pens should be marked with buoys clearly visible from the air	High	N/A		
c) radio contact between farm staff and helicopter crew should be maintained or where this is not possible, pens receiving fish should be manned	High	N/A	CoGP 4.4.33	
Consideration should be given to all other site procedures being undertaken during the visit with respect to containment and the risk of fish farm escapes				

Point of compliance	Risk level	Satisfactory?	Requirement	Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary				
Additional actions	Powers			Comments and advice given or action taken if necessary				
e) Collection of samples If necessary collect samples. Indicate if samples have been taken and detail what those samples are and the purpose of their collection	Power grante	d under the Act	– section 5 (3) (a)					
h) Enforcement Notice.  If an enforcement notice has been issued then maintain a copy / duplicate and record detail  Guidance on completing the Enforcement Notice	Power grante	d under the Act	- Section 6 (2)					

1 An 'escape event' can be defined as any circumstances on or in the vicinity of a fish farm which are believed to have caused an escape, or which may have given rise to a significant risk of an escape of fish.

2 FHI interpretation – Informing the SSPO is only a requirement where the site belongs to an Authorised Production Business which is signed up to the CoGP.

3 being waters which do not form part of the sea or any creek, bay or estuary or of any river as far as far as the tide flows

4 The Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 (as amended)

5 The Marine Scotland Act 2010

		_						
Case No:	2023-0520			Date of visit:	04/12/2023	3		
O'' N	E04004	1						
Site No:	FS1024	l		Inspector				
Results Summary	<b>I</b> Eroa	1		Do	to of Notifica	tion		
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Phone	te of Notifica	Writing	Insp	and Language
		Database	шэр	FIIONE	шър	vviiting	шэр	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
			=				=	
			=				=	
Report Summary			Г	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
Case Type ESC	11/01/2024							
CNA	20/02/2024							
Case completion	17/06/2024							
	<b>!</b>							
	<del>                                     </del>							



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### **SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR**

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 05/12/2023

 Site No
 FS1024
 Site Name
 Toyness

 Case No
 20230520
 Inspector

An enhanced inspection to ascertain the risk of escape from the fish farm was conducted in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007.

The visit consisted of an inspection of facilities, records and the provision of advice.

### a) Inspection of i) escape incidents and ii) contingency procedures

There was no evidence to demonstrate that the escape incident reported on the 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2023 had been reported to Salmon Scotland within 48 hours of discovery.

The following recommendations are made for improvement.

It is recommended that a documented review of the site-specific contingency plan describing actions to be taken in the event of an escape incident should be undertaken to ensure that any incident is reported to Salmon Scotland within 48 hours of occurring, to meet the requirements of A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture (CoGP) (Chapter 4, part 4.37). Evidence of the report to Salmon Scotland should be provided to demonstrate satisfactory measures are in place.

It is recommended that a documented review of the site-specific contingency plan describing actions to be taken in the event of an escape incident should be undertaken to ensure satisfactory measures are in place for the recovery of escaped fish to meet the requirements of Part 1 of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007.

### b)i) Inspection of records relating to equipment, facilities and the site

A Minor issue was raised with regard to treatments of nets with a UV inhibitor, these were discussed at the time, no further action is required.

No records were available in relation to the inspection and maintenance of facilities and also the selection and installation of pens and moorings and the suitability for use on site.

The following recommendations are made for improvement.

To meet the requirements of schedule 2, sections 1, 2 and 5 of the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008 (RKO) it is recommended that records in relation to inspections of facilities, water depth and navigation markers are maintained and include the following:

the name of the person conducting the inspection;

R10

- the date of each inspection;
- the place of each inspection;
- the outcome of each inspection;
- the date and result of each repair;
- the depth of water between the bottom of the net and the seabed as measured at the mean low water spring;
- any navigation markers deployed.

To meet the requirements of the CoGP (chapter 4 part 4.8, 4.9, 4.13 and 4.14) the following records should be maintained:

- documented procedures to be followed in the selection and installation of pens and moorings;
- the design specifications of pens and moorings, along with evidence that they are suitable for the purpose and are correctly installed.

### b)ii) Inspection of records relating to training

No records were available in relation to staff training in relation to on-site activities.

The following recommendations are made for improvement.

To meet the requirements of the CoGP (chapter 7 part 1.8) training programmes and plans relevant to the various on site activities should be documented.

To meet the requirements of the RKO (schedule 2, sections 6a and 7a) records should be maintained of:

- all training and qualifications of each person working there in the use of each boat;
- all training of each person working there in relation to containment and prevention of escape of fish, and recovery of escaped fish

### b)iii) Inspection of records relating to procedures and risk assessments

The following recommendations are made due to the circumstances of the reported escape incident.

It is recommended that the risk assessment, as required in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture (CoGP) (Chapter 4, point 4.26) to ascertain the risks of predator attacks, is reviewed and updated to include current circumstances and any additional predator mitigations to be deployed at the site, such as toughened netting materials.

#### c) Inspection of site and site equipment

A minor issue was raised with regard to records of the deployed navigational markers on site. A recommendation has been made previously in the Fish Health Report regarding the implementation of a record of these, as required by the Fish Farming Businesses (Record Keeping) (Scotland) Order 2008.

### d) Inspection of site specific procedures

The site meets the requirement of current Scottish industry best practice. No recommendations made or further action required.

R04

#### **Further Action**

The recommendations in this report should be implemented by 17<sup>th</sup> July 2024. Documentation should be provided as evidence that the recommendations have been implemented. Enforcement action may result if the recommendations are not implemented in the necessary time frame. Records should be sent to the Marine Directorate's Fish Health Inspectorate (FHI) (contact details are provided below).

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 05/12/2023

 Site No
 FS1024
 Site Name
 Toyness

 Case No
 20230520
 Inspector

### Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 17 h July 2024. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to the Fish Health Inspectorate to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 17/6/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 05/12/2023

 Site No
 FS1024
 Site Name
 Toyness

 Case No
 20230520
 Inspector

### **Escape Investigation**

The site was inspected following notification of circumstances which gave rise to a significant risk of escape of Atlantic salmon on 22/10/2023 (MSe221023SAL1)

An enhanced containment inspection was conducted and a report will be issued separately.

On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were not available for inspection.

The following point was raised with the site representative during the inspection:

. A copy of the movement records from the last inspection should be provided.

This must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/01/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)