		Date of	issue: 12/05/2020				
	D	Date of visit:	21/11/2023				
	Main Inspector:						
,							
3 SLI 4 VMI	5 5	6					
mometer No:	7 F	HI 045 comple	eted				
on: ST Wat	er type: B	CoGP MA:	M-44				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.							
ended visit detail reason below	:						
ו	and the sess Name:    Solution   A   VMD	Main Inspector:  Jame: J	Main Inspector:  Jame: Jess Name:  Ormsary Broodstock Unit Landcatch Natural Selection Ltd  4 VMD 5 6  Tanometer No:  Tanomete				

### **Additional Case Information:**

All mortalities and culls are incinerated on site (noted by weight on record). Incineration log inspected 21/11/2023, therefore records have been noted as available.

Transport records: captured in mortalities as transfer morts.

As a broodstock site, does not fallow synchronously on a single year class basis. This is noted in the farm management statement that was inspected 21/11/2023. No harvesting takes place on site.

Harvesting strategy not required as site has land based tanks and are able to treat easily.

FHI 059, Version 13			lss	sued by: FHI		Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0536		Site No:	FS00	90		
Date of Visit:		21/11/202	23		Inspector(	s):	
Registration/Author	risation De	tails					
1. Business/site deta			site represer	ntative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	details?					N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi		ctions)				
Total No facilities		21	Facilities s	tocked	10	No facilities inspe	ected 21
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL				
Age group	2021 S1	2022 S1	2023 S1				
No Fish	741	3,272	16,484				
Mean Fish Wt	11.2kg	7.7kg	1.45kg				
Next Fallow Date (S		never fallo	W	Next Input	Date (Site)	spring 2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?			N Any escap	es (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							
Movement Record		. ,.	0				
1. Movement record		or inspection	?			0.4/4.4	Υ
2. Date of last inspe			10			01/11	
3. Are records comp		•					Y
4. Are movement re				e?			Y
5. Are records comp		-					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	oductions (ou	twith GB) ava	ailable?			N/A
Transport Deserts							
Transport Records		ut by (or on h	schalf) of the	husings (not	using a STD\	2	
1. Are any movement of yes, is there a sys		• '		•		•	
ii yes, is there a sys	tem in place	ioi maintena	ance or transp	ortalion recoi	us!		
<b>Mortality Records</b>							
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?					Y
2. How are mortalities					Incinerate	d - on site	
If other detail:							
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly e	ntered?				Y
,		,,		46 130 0.62%	6· Wk45_96_0	).45%; Wk44, 91, 0.4	2%· Wk43_108
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		0.50%	.0, .00, 0.02,	0, 111110, 00, 0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	270, 111(10, 100,
5. Evidence of recer		atypical mor					l N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		ity/reason:			
<b>,</b>	7 7			.,.			
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	uring period	checked?				Y
If yes, detail:	April 2022:	site total mo	rt, 7.04%, 114	43, within 22 S		125 (transfer mortality	v). 2023: Wk38,
7. Have increased (							
7. Have increased (	unexplaineu,					22 S1, 10.87%, 688	(explained laterally
If yes, detail action:						mortalities reduced).	(CAPIGINEU IATERALLY
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' heen r						Y
C ia Co mortality of	27110 200111	5p01100 10 1 1	, 0.110	40.4.10 01111	.c.tanty ovortic		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Ro	oordo	
		V
1. Recent treatments (see com		I
If yes, detail:	T.M.S	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available	or inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and co	rectly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period	<b>1</b> ?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	T.M.S	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored approp	iately?	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available	for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequen	cy of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been	n considered?
3. Has the manner and period i	which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinar	y professional of any

21 moderno recorde aranable for mopeodiern	·
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Υ
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal be	
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterina	ary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the pr	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers	
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been co	overed (equal or higher Y
health status, certification if required)?	
	ala sia al coit ta paininaia a
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemic transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or of the context of t	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
aquaculture animals held on site?	Containment of
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business	s? Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
Results tak	ken from 03.07.2023 - AGD (zero
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	,
Results regarding unexplained mortality in 2022, initial inconclusive health results from	third parties. Histology suggested
potential AGD and/or effects of algal bloom.	
Records checked between: 01/11/2021- 21/11/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13							188	uea by: Fi	ПІ			
Case no:	2023-05	36 S	ite No:		FS0090			Date of vi Sampling		21/	11/2023	21/
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:00	0:00	14:0	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	).	1
Environmental conditions	: 1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1											
Pool Group												
Species	SAL											
Average weight	1.45kg											
Sex	N/A											
Water Type	SW											
	Smolt Unit											
	=											
	E E											
Sing												
Details	sar 573											
	Ormsary (FS0575)											
Stock Origin Facility No												
ත් Facility No	G08											

11/2023 Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0536		Site No:	FS0090		Insp:	
Date of Visit	21/11/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	
	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		10	26 14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Trambor or door	Site contacts	ļ		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	, ]			0
oco or ampaotoanioca rocac	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	О	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		18
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0536	Site No:	FS0090	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previo  2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fal  3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed  azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as acces  can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	llowed synchronously on a single y	cluding deltamethrin, Y	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreer Management Area (or equivalent)?	ment or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	,
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Leg</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard spe</li></ul>		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggerecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	,
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that record		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	1
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspect 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	I
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or othe suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is c			•
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooper 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer passes lice?	ration between participating farms	vels recorded? Y	I/A I/A
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedscenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	ure with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y	,
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	ce count data? If no please detail r	easons. Y	,
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predefined. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation on land tanks,  If other, detail below:		•	
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on	or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection?	l
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (wher 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisherical fields).	10 e they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with loc Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk be considered under satisfactory measures of the Ac 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to con	of further escapes? (Not covered i	n code but could	

		D
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0536	Site No: FS0090	
Date of Visit: 21/11/2023	Inspector:	
<u> </u>		
Point of Compliance	ithin a farma managamant area?	
1. Is the farm under inspection located wi		Y
If N, no further questions require complet	ion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M	Ianagement Agreements and Statement	es ·
-	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	•	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	<u> </u>	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	i site(s) to wnich it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	<u> </u>	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	n health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccinati	ion requirements for stocks held in the are	a or farm?
	s of fish which may be stocked into the are	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximuindividual farm?	um stocking density of any pen on any farn	n in the area or the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange fish farm in the area or the individual farr	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available	ility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
of statement?		
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farm	ns? stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa		d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments on farr	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
	stances when live fish may be introduced of	
	ements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable I	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or some fithe FMAg/S?  Dec-21	statement? Y

Case No:	2023-0536			Date of visit:	21/11/2023	В					
Site No:	FS0090	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Date of Notification  Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 <sup>nd</sup> I							
		Database	шэр	i none	шэр	vviitiiig	шэр	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI	11/12/2023										

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0061 Date of Visit 21/11/2023

SITE No FS0090 SITE NAME Ormsary Broodstock Unit

Case No 20230536 Inspector

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 11/12/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)