FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0015			Date of visit: 08/02/2024
Time spent on site: 3	h	Main Inspect	or:
Site No:FS0698Business No:FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Quarry Point Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	ison below:	

Salmon peaks in mortality 2022: wk 29 3712 (1.24%) wk 30 7317 (2.48%), wk 32 3259 (1.14%), wk 33 6495 (2.12%), wk 34 3898 (1.48%), wk 35 4436 (2.04%), wk 36 4909 (2.7%), wk 37 2871 (1.62%), wk 38 (3891 (2.9%), wk 39 3059 (2.77%), main cause of these is PD but also some without specific diagnosis and post treatments. Wk 50 1846 (1.05%), wk 52 2042 (1.18%) without diagnosis and grilse the main attributed cause but some treatment losses as well.

Salmon peaks in mortality 2023: wk 01 2001 (1.17%), wk 03 1706 (1.02%) continuation of losses occurring in December 2022

Lumpsucker peaks in mortality 2022 wk 33 645 (14.6%), wk 34 534 (14.49%), wk 35 767 (24.34%), wk 36 250 (10.49%), wk 37 428 (20.06%), wk 38 (609 (35.57%), wk 39 (54.87%) mainly recorded as black losses but also some harvest culls.

Wrasse peaks in mortality 2022: wk 33 1825 (18.21%), wk34 1164 (14.2%), wk 35 1772 (25.19%), wk 36 805 (15.3%), wk 37 948 (21.27%), wk 38 1148 (32.27%), wk 39 1159 (48.07%) mainly recorded as black losses but also some harvest culls.

Records not maintained for cleaner fish movements offsite in the movement book, but records were available for harvests.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0015]	Site No:	FS0698	3			
Date of Visit:		08/02/2024]		Inspector(s)	:		I
Registration/Author							_	_
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Ŷ	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023 Q4							
No Fish	593,167							
	311g							
Next Fallow Date (Si		May/June 20)25	Next Input Da		2025 Q4		
Recent (last 4 wks) o	lisease probl	ems?		IN	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records 1. Movement records 2. Date of last inspect 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement records 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certificat Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system	s available fo ction: lete and corre cords availab lete and corre ates for introc	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? /ith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? Isiness (not us			06/06/2022	Y N Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed o	ıf?			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ente						Y
4. Recent mortality (I			la contra	site last four w	reeks			
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6 Apy other peaks in	, mortality du	ring pariod of	a alkad2					V
 Any other peaks in If yes, detail: 	,	01						· ·
7. Have increased (u		al information		vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	(incorplained)							10/1
8. Have 'mortality eve								

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: SLICE, optomease		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe dis	sposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers of	or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion		
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish I		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site	e been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the	e physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the	e business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	PD.	
Records checked between: 06/06/2022 to 8/	/6/2023	

Case no: 2024-0015 Priority samples: VI	Site No: BA	FS0698 PA	Date of Samplin		/02/2024 08/0
Priority samples: VI	ВА	PA		ng:	
			MG	й ні]
Time sampling 11:45:0 starts/ends:	0 12:30:00	Inspecto	or:	VMD N	lo. 7
Environmental conditions: 1 Ind	oors 2	3	4	5]
Summary samples HIST	ВА	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples
Add Fish/Pools - click					
Pool/Fish No					
Fish nos 1-6					
Pool Group					
Species SAL					
Average weight 300g					
Sex N/A					
Water Type SW					
Stock Origin Beocrap Facility No 8					

)2/2024	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0		Total To	ests ass	ianed	0						
				5		•					

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI				JI 15500	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0015		Site No:	FS0698		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/02/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or I	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	0	ĺ			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	[0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	ĺ			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

2024-0015

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0015	Site No:	FS0698
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
 Has the site experienced sea lice problem Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or experience) 	s in the previous 4 years? equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	/ear class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med d of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm
 5. Are sea lice count records available for ins 6. Do records adequately reflect the required 	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the peri	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N/A N
·	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated to have be a subscription of the set of th	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	applicable)? is taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	evels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	l out in cooperation between participating farms	? Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manages scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous pr	roduction cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	V) Y
Seal pro nets, tensioned nets, top nets, w If other, detail below:	vildlife log	
	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	e last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No ski		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mini		
	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	
	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover eso	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0015			Date of visit:	08/02/2024			
Site No:	FS0698]		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notificat	ion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	14/02/2024							

Report Summary			
		Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	14/02/2024		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0698

 CASE NO
 20240015

5

DATE OF VISIT08/02/2024SITE NAMEQuarry PointINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• It was noted that live cleaner fish movements records were not recorded as required by condition 5 and Appendix 2 of the APB's Authorisation. This was discussed with the site manager and records were updated, no further action is required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 14/02/2024

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)