FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2024-0018			Date of visit: 08/02/2024					
Time spent on site:	3.5	Main Insp	pector:					
Site No: FS0887 Business No: FB0235	Site Name: Business Name:	Sallachy Site Cooke Aquaculture (Fresh	nwater) Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 3.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score is the present of the present								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

Additional Case Information:

ROV on shorebase to inspect nets. Not yet used as divers are still visiting.

Water turbid and fish low in the water column. Few fish were observed during the visit.

Fish removed for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally.

Inspection of paperwork and site conducted by , observed by

Calibrated site thermometer used to take temperature. Due to sub-zero temperature, temperature was not displayed on inspectors field thermometer. FHI 045 Thermometer fail sheet completed.

FHI 059, Vers	ion 13			Issued by: FH	I I		Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2024-0018		Site No:	FS0887						
Date of Visit:		08/02/2024]		Inspector(s):					
	Authorisation						V			
Business/site details summary checked by site representative? Changes made to details?										
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)										
To	tal No facilities	10	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	inspected	10		
Species	SAL									
Age group	24 S1									
No Fish	297,221									
Mean Fish	96g									
Wt	(8:1.)	40/00/04		N	(0:1)	1.1.0004				
Next Fallow D	· · · · · ·	12/03/24		Next Input Da	_ ' '	July 2024	-:4\2	.		
-	wks) disease	problems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last vis	SIT)?	N		
If yes, detail:										
Movement R	ecorde									
	records availat	ole for inspec	tion?					Y		
2. Date of last		ole for inspec	dorr:				02/12/2021			
	s complete and	correctly ent	ered?				02/12/2021	V		
	ent records av	•		acto?				N/A		
	s complete and			asic:				N/A		
	certificates for			availahle?				N/A		
o. Are nearing	certificates for	introductions	(outwith OB)	available:				1777		
Transport Re	cords									
•	vements carrie	ed out by (or o	on behalf) of t	he business (n	ot using a STE	3)?		N/A		
_	a system in pl				_	-,-				
Mortality Red	ords									
1. Mortality re	cords available	for inspection	n?					Y		
2. How are me	ortalities dispos	sed of?			Incinerated - o	on site				
If other detail:										
	oordo complete	and correct	v optorod?					V		
3. Mortality re	cords complete	and correcti		07 fiab /0 070/	\l. 1. 1EC fia	h (0.050/)	l. 2. 120 finh	(0.040/) vdc		
2024 wk 5: 207 fish (0.07%), wk 4: 156 fish (0.05%), wk 3: 129 fish (0.04%), wk										
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): 2: 201 fish (0.07%) 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?										
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:										
yee, racinty	The state of the s	, p. c		,						
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?										
	7,000 fish (2.7			ng a PD7 vacc	ination before	the fish were	moved off th	e site.		
7. Have increa	ased (unexplain	ned) mortalitie	es been repor	ted to vet or FI	HI?			N/A		
If yes, detail a										
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.										

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail	· ·
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	•
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Ÿ
health status, certification if required)?	•
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y
etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 02/12/21 - 08/02/24	

	ii 059, versiori 15							133	ueu by. F	111			
	Case no:	2024-00	018	Site No:		FS0887			Date of vi Sampling		08/0	02/2024	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:		_	VMD No).	7
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Ac	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-10	11-26										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	0.0960	0.0960										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	FW	FW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Holmwrangle	Holmwrangle										
S	Facility No	5	6										

Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0018		Site No:	FS0887		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/02/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
CP C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off			0			10	3
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		rfrom Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	Ī			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		7
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0018	Site No: FS0887
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in	n the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ	livalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year	r class basis?
•	ced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included as access to suitable biological and/or mechange?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm managen Area (or equivalent)?	nent agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm Management
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required st	andard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGi	P during the period that records
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmo</i> above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that	onis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or aborecords are inspected?	ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	considered to cause significant welfare problems	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
·	ered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levengatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications	
11. Has any other action been taken (where app	plicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels	s recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried or	ut in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, will lice?	here fewer populations or part populations are he	eld without treatment for sea
15. Is there a site specific written lice managem scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice info	ent procedure with waypoints describing set actions station?	ons to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	sons.
Containment Inspection		
•	due to predators in the current or previous produ	uction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
Bird nets, Dyneema netting, contract held v	vith a pest control company for shorebase, d	ivers in routinely to check net integrity.
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been exper	rienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the la	ast FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to	*	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minister	•	
•	hwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17	7)
•	ocal fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escap	nees? If yes give detail	
The residence of the state of t	see. If yee give usua.	
	eed with local wild fish interests and was permiss	ion given by Scottish Ministers?
(Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
•	ise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in co	ode but could
be considered under satisfactory measure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg	ards to containment? If no, please detail reason(S) Y

Site No: FS0887

Case No: 2024-0018

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2024-0018	J		Date of visit:	: 08/02/2024	<u>.</u>		
Site No:	FS0887	1		Inspector				
	. 00001	ı		mopeotor.				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	+							
	+							
	1							
	1							
Report Summary			T T	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	21/02/2024							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	+							

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0235 DATE OF VISIT 08/02/2024
SITE NO FS0887 SITE NAME Sallachy Site
CASE NO 20240018 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 21/02/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>