FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0033			Date of visit: 19/02/2024
Time spent on site:	hr	Main Inspecto	pr:
Site No:FS1363Business No:FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Egg Unit Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	45	6
Water Temp (°C): 2.04	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	Cogp MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	/ out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Site receives three females per box along with ovarian fluid from Aquagen.

When eggs are received into the unit, the bags containing the ova are dipped into virkon. The ova are then rinsed and subsequently rinsed. Once fertilised, the ova are then rinsed for a second time, before being disinfected with buffodine and rinsed again.

Any unviable eggs and hatch fails are removed via discharge pipe and end up in the collective Barcaldine ensiler.

Counts for unviable eggs occur at 65% development.

Movements of green eggs from Aquagen: Occurred on 18/12/2023, 04/01/2024 and 11/01/2024. Trial batches: 12/12/2024 and 15/02/2024

Currently, next input into green egg unit not confirmed yet. Once fallow, site will be cleaner and disinfected and shutdown until a new date is set for an input.

Formaldehyde used on site to prevent/treat fungus (prophylactically). One treatments have been conducted: 16/02 (250ppm for 25mins). Flushed until all traces of formaldehyde removed (tested). Current plans to treat once per week.

Trial batches are also currently in the facility and unviable eggs have been removed. Viables ova will be culled prior to them hatching and will be disposed in ensiler. First trial: ~66000 unfertilised, unviable or mortality after shocking. Second batch ~35000 unfertilised, unviable or mortality after shocking.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0033]	Site No:	FS1363				
Date of Visit:		19/02/2024	Ĩ		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho								_
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	o details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)			_		
Total No facilities		1 hatchery	Facilities sto	cked	1 hatchery	No facilitie	s inspected	1 hatchery
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023							
No Fish	2,822,000							
Mean Fish Wt	ova							
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	may 2024		Next Input Da	te (Site)	no current	plans	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N/A
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record								
1. Movement record		or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							19/02/2024	
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement re								Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? N/A						N/A	
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movement		it by (or on be	half) of the hu	isiness (not usi	ing a STB)2			Y
If yes, is there a sys								Y
								· · · ·
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly ent	ered?					Y
			Current prod	luction batches	in the egg ur	nit have not l	been shocked	d or removed
			any from ye	t (mortality rem	oved/picked	after 65% de	evelopment).	Current trial
			batches: mo	re information	in additional ir	nformation (will be culled	prior to
4. Recent mortality	· /		hatch)					
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	atypical morta	lities?					N/A
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? N/A								
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Me	edicines Records					
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?		Y			
If yes, detail:	Formaldehyde					
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records	s available for inspection?		Y			
3. Are records comp	blete and correctly entered?		Y			
4. Are fish in a withdu	Irawal period?		N			
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?					
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?		Y			
Biosecurity Record	ls					
•	ds available for inspection?		Y			
	•	rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
		cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
	ined) mortality at the site been included	•••	Y			
	4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher Y						
health status, certific	cation if required)?					
6. Have the husband	dry and biosecurity measures implemen	ted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disea	ase been covered (movement of staff, v	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?				
7. Is documentation a	available regarding the measures in pla	ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals						
	rity procedures been adequately implem	nented on site?	Y			
lf no, detail:						
Results of Surveilla	ance					
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, o	r on behalf of, the business?	Y			
•	available for inspection?		Y			
3. Any significant res	•		N			
	letailed under recent disease problems).					
R	Records checked between:	18/12/2023-19/02/2024				

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date t	J 1550E	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0033		Site No:	FS1363		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/02/2024		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	povements off		3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	•	Site contacts	. 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	5 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes						
	No		2				0
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0033	Site No	FS1363
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
 Has the site experienced sea lice problems Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or end) 		e year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as can these be deployed in a reasonable period	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (well as access to suitable biological and/or m	including deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required		(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio		or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	-	blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administ suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice out in cooperation between participating farm	ns?
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in		t actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detai	l reasons.
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damages 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against to Indoors, Rentokill		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
If other, detail below:		
 Have escape incidents or events been explicitly for the second with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and 	o to question 10 sters? rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	preed with local wild fish interests and was pe	rmission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini		d in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re		ason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0033			Date of visit:	19/02/2024			
Site No:	FS1363]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification					
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	_							
	1							
-	-	-						

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI	28/02/202	24	
		_	
		_	
	_	_	
	_		
	_		
	_		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125

 SITE NO
 FS1363

 CASE NO
 20240033

DATE OF VISIT19/02/2024SITE NAMEBarcaldine Egg UnitINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. <u>Records</u>

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the first input of stock onto site.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Date: 28/02/2024

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0034	4	
Time spent on site:	6hrs	Main Inspector:
Site No: FS0649 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Arkaig Mowi Scotland Ltd
Case Type 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 MIX	4 VMD 5
Water Temp (°C): 6.1	Thermometer No:	Т308
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		Y If yes, see additional information Y If yes, see additional information N If yes, see additional information N
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ry out intended visit detail reason below:	