FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0035			Date of visit: 22/02/2024
Time spent on site:	3hrs	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0599 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	MacLean's Nose Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	66
Water Temp (°C): 6.71	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-34
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	-	N If yes, see additional	I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Stock origin: fanad, aquagen and mowi stock from Glenfinann and Loch Lochy. Passive grade conducted 13th Feb 2024.

Cleanerfish mortality 2024:

LUM: Wk6, 0.15%, 63; Wk5, 0.43%,180; Wk4,0.16%,68; Wk3,0.43%,184 WRS: Wk6, 0.20%,106; Wk5,0.61%,327; Wk4,0.25%,135; Wk3,0.41%,220

Treatments 2024:

Last treatment from 07-13/01/2024 was freshwater. 3hr exposure

Irish wrasse from - 13/07/2023, 5790

Mortalities are ensiled on site and then taken away via billy bowie. In the instance that increased mortalities occur, skips are used. Waste transfer notes for both these situations have been inspected.

skips for the higher mortality in wk 33. during this time, 6 pens transferred to bdnc due to increased mortality During August 2023, 6 pens were transferred to BDNC

Feed blocks for cleanerfish have been changed and positive effects seen in overall health of these fish onsite.

Visits from health manager conducted every month, with the last visit being conducted on 29/01/2024

Harvests conducted on site via livehaul.

Paperwork inspected remotely on 15/02/2024.

last waste transfer note- 08/10/2023.

one fish was removed for VMD sampling and was observed to be healthy.


FHI 059, Versio				ed by: FHI		Date of iss
Case No:	2024-0035		Site No:	FSC	0599	
Date of Visit:		22/02/2	2024		Inspector(s	3):
Registration/A	Authorisation	Details				
			by site representa	tive?		
2. Changes ma	ade to details?	•				
Site Details (ir	aclude cleans	er fich for all d	sections)			
Total No faciliti		16	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities insp
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM			TO TESTINGO INO
Age group	2022	2023	2022 Q4			
No Fish	174,324	53,191	41, 880			
Mean Fish Wt	4.5kg	mix	31g			
Next Fallow Da	ite (Site)	end of Mar	ch 2024	Next Input	Date (Site)	September 202
Recent (last 4)	wks) disease	problems?			N Any escape	es (since last visit)?
If yes, detail:						
5. Are records 6. Are health co Transport Red 1. Are any mov	complete and ertificates for icords ements carried a system in placed by the cords are a system in placed by the cords are	correctly enterintroductions (ed out by (or or acce for mainter	outwith GB) availant behalf) of the bushance of transpor	siness (not us		n site
If other detail:	tuntios dispos				Zilollou ol	T Cito
3. Mortality rec	ords complete	and correctly	entered?			
4. Recent mort				.08% ,170; W	K6, 0.05%, 139;	Wk5, 0.12%,318;Wk
5. Evidence of						
If yes, facility no	os/no mortalit	y per facility/no	stock per facility/	reason:		
<ol><li>Any other pe</li></ol>			d checked? Wk33, 1.17%, 12			


7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

AGD);Wk43,1.90%,9109(acute loss medicine, AGD);Wk45,1.05%,3834(acute loss medicine, A

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any inc
(unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher he
certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise t
disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculti held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?  If no, detail:
ii iio, detaii.
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 07/03/2023-22/02/2024





02/2024 Additional Sample Information:												
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0035		Site No:	FS0599		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/02/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	q
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0			10	10
Movements on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	, 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		30 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: Fh	·II Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2024-0035	Sit	te No:			
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater S	tes Only)					
1. Has the site experienced sea lice	problems in the previous 4 years?					
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management	Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronou	usly on a single year class basis?				
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azameth to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?						
4. Is there a signed documented fa	rm management agreement or statement	relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Ma	nagem			
5. Are sea lice count records availa	ble for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP And	nex 6)				

- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that rec
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or that records are inspected?

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

- 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the sug is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

#### **Containment Inspection**

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

seal pros nets, bird nets, tensioned nets.

If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Minis
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0035	Site No: FS0599	
Date of Visit: 22/02/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance  1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require comple	· ·	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol>	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirelice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	I cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto s roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becon	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S?  14.06.2023	statement?

Case No:	2024-0035	J		Date of visit	22/02/2024	<u> </u>		
Site No:	FS0599	1		Inspector				
Cito ric.	. 55555	ı		mopeotor				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	+							
	+							
	+							
	1							
	+							
	+							
	_							
	•							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1				
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	28/02/2024							
	+							
	1							
	1							

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119
 DATE OF VISIT
 22/02/2024

 SITE NO
 FS0599
 SITE NAME
 MacLean's Nose

 CASE NO
 20240035
 INSPECTOR

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R25

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)

Date: 28/02/2024