FHI 059, Version 13	lssu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0059			Date of visit: 04/03/2024
Time spent on site:	2h	Main Inspecto	pr:
Site No: FS0500 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Smolt Unit Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 REP	4 WEL 5 VMD	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.7	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		n If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Inspection conducted with APHA Vet due to elevated mortalities.

Fungus became an issue in January post vaccination with mortality event notifications submitted to the FHI, 2024 wk 5 165,230 (24.53%), wk 6 42,855 (4.47%).

Mortalities for the last four weeks wk 9 36,638 (4.82%), wk 8 16498 (2.12%), wk 7 5965 (0.76%), wk 6 42855 (4.47%)

Two populations within the system LHS March 2023 and LHS May 2023 predominantly May 2023 cohort recorded the highest mortalities.

3 tanks were culled using ms222. 132,682 from tank 4, 68947 from tank 2 and 54024 from tank 7.

Treatments with formalin and salt for the saprolegnia however an issue with the supplier of salt prevented higher levels of salinity being achieved impacting on effectiveness

Furunculosis was confirmed by PCR (report issued on 23/2/2024) a treatment of Aquatet prescribed this was on-going on the day of inspection.

On inspection of the stocks no moribunds were observed, fish were showing a strong feeding response to the medicated feed.

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity protocols, thermometers are regularly checked against a mercury thermometer.

Paperwork inspected on the 4th and 5th March 2024, site inspection and VMD sampling on the 5th March 2024.

FHI 059, Version 13		ls	ssued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2	2020
Case No:	2024-0059	Site No:	FS050	0		
Date of Visit:		04/03/2024		Inspector(s)		
Registration/Autho						
		checked by site represe	entative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	o details?				Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all sections)				
Total No facilities		7 Facilities	stocked	4	No facilities inspected 7	
Species	SAL	SAL				
Age group	Mar-23	May-23				
No Fish	643,943	80,000				
Mean Fish Wt	55.6g	45g				
Next Fallow Date (S	,	April 2024	Next Input D	· /	27 May 2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)				Y Any escape	s (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	Furunculosi	s some fungus				
 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	s available fo ction: blete and corri cords availat blete and corri ates for intro	rectly entered? ble for dead fish and was	ailable?		08/11/2023	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?				Y
2. How are mortalitie		•		Whole fish -	Dundas Chemicals	_
If other detail:						
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly entered?				Y
4. Recent mortality (•		ional comments			
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/					Y
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no stock per faci	ility/reason:			
see additional comm						
	n mortality d	uring period checked?				N
If yes, detail:						
,	unexplained)	mortalities been reporte				Y
If yes, detail action:	and all have a				and antibiotic administered	V
8. Have mortality ev	rents been re	eported to FHI? If no, ent	er details on moi	nality events s	neet.	T

Treatments and Medicine	es Records	
1. Recent treatments (see o	comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	Aquatet and Aquacen	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records availa	•	Y
3. Are records complete and		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal p		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Aquatet and Aquacen	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored app	propriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records availa		у
2. Has the manner and freq	quency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	У
•	riod in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) m	nortality at the site been included?	У
	be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	У
	aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	У
health status, certification if	f required)?	
	biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	У
	een covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation availab aquaculture animals held or	ble regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of on site?	У
	ocedures been adequately implemented on site?	У
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health su	urveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results availab	ole for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?		Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed	l under recent disease problems). Furunculosis	
Records	ls checked between: 8/11/2023 to 4/3/2024	

FHI 059, Version 13							Issued by	y: FHI			
Case no:	2024-00)59	Site No:	:	FS0500		Date Samp	of visit/ ling:	04/0	03/2024	05/(
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Н			
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	0:00	15:0	0:00	l	Inspecto	or:		VMD No).	15
Environmental conditions:	: 1	Indoors	2		3		4	5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI	PA		Total Sa	amples
Add Fish/Pools - click											
Pool/Fish No											
Fish nos	1-25	26-50	51-75	76-100							
Pool Group											
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL							
Average weight	55g	55g	55g	55g							
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							
Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW							

Applecross hatchery

Couldoran hatchery

1

6

Couldoran hatchery

5

Couldoran hatchery

3

tock Details

Stock Origin Facility No

)3/2024	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
					_					
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13

FRI 059, Version 13		ISSUED Dy. FHI			Duio		12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0059		Site No:	FS0500		Insp:	
Date of Visit	04/03/2024		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0	-	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6		3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or I	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent state		4				
	_	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0059	Site No:	FS0500
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	
Ū.	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med I of time?	•
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required a	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	COGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problem	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> <i>longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implica	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms	?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set an infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
	ge due to predators in the current or previous p he predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	
Site indoors If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	-	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
-	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4 d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist	
		(COGF = 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	preed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minin be considered under satisfactory measur	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered res of the Act)	in code but could
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0059]		Date of visit:	04/03/2024	1		
Site No:	FS0500]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	_						_	
							-	
					-		_	
							-	
	_						_	
	_		-				-	
							-	
			_				_	
Report Summary				1				
	Dete	lass	and					

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI,CNI, WEL,REP, VN	18/03/2024		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0500

 CASE NO
 20240059

DATE OF VISIT04/03/2024 & 5/3/2024SITE NAMEApplecross Smolt UnitINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected following reports of increased mortality by the farm operator. The inspection was conducted in conjunction with a veterinary officer from the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA). A separate report will be issued by the Animal and Plant Health Agency.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues. R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 15/03/2024

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)