FHI 059, Version 13	Į.	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2024-0061			Date of visit: 05/03/2024			
Time spent on site:		Main Ir	nspector:			
Site No: FS1051 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Couldoran Incubation U Bakkafrost Scotland	nit			
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 4.4	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fi Clinical signs of disease observed' Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. n If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. n				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						

Additional Case Information:

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons the thermometer calibrated every two years by the lab, checked regularly with hand held probe.

Native Hebridean stock on site.

Four inputs each year.

Peaks in mortality 2023 wk 39 26378 (2.15%) (issue with a blocked pipe one tray affected). 2024 wk 01 58605 (3.24%) water quality due to blocked pipe, wk 4 37694 (2.14%) water quality issue due to blocked pipe.

Morts last 4 weeks w9 9 153592 (9.22%) failed hatch, wk 8 15216 (0.91%) failed hatch, wk 7 3653 (0.22%), wk 6 Fallow.

Buffodiene the only treatment used on site.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0061		Site No:	FS1051				
Date of Visit:		05/03/2024]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fish	n for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		1 hatchery	Facilities sto	cked	1 hatchery	No facilitie	s inspected	1 hatchery
Species	SAL							
Age group	2024 Feb							
No Fish	1,512,084							
Mean Fish Wt	N/A							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	April 22nd		Next Input Da	te (Site)	May 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?							Y Y Y Y	
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed of	?			Whole fish -	Dundas Che	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): see additional information								
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?					N			
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?						Y		
If yes, detail: see additional information								
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been rep	oorted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on morta	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	У
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	·
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
il yes, detail (il not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 16/5/2023 to 4/3/2024	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0061		Site No:	FS1051		Insp:	
Date of Visit	05/03/2024 No of movements/supp./dest.						
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			0	18	26	0
	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		10	26 14	5
DA							2
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Trambol of door	Site contacts	ļ		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
Too or anpaotounous roods	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		26
					Rank		HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0061	Site No	p: FS1051
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a sing	le year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as can these be deployed in a reasonable period	well as access to suitable biological and/or n	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	ne site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins6. Do records adequately reflect the required	•	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the	e CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period		or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish F 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	•	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been admini suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice	e levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participating far	ms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations	are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i		et actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	ail reasons.
Containment Inspection		
Has the site experienced equipment damage	ge due to predators in the current or previous	s production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against t	-	
site indoors		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	e the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37,	5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they	exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action as Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was p	ermission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini		ed in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail re	eason(s) Y

Case No:	2024-0061			Date of visit:	05/03/2024				
Site No:	FS1051	1		Inspector:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
							-		
							-		
							=		
							=		
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1					
ECI,CNI	15/03/2024								
-									

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 Date of Visit 05/03/2024

SITE NO FS1051 SITE NAME Couldoran Incubation Unit

CASE NO 20240061 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

R04

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 15/03/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>