FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0067			Date of visit: 14/03/2024
Time spent on site: 2	hours	Main Inspect	tor:
Site No: FS0468 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Tralaig Kames Fish Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 5.4	Thermometer No:	T172	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Business correspondent: the state of the site week after inspection. Will not be stocked with RTR below 5g Any increased mortality will be disposed of in the ensiler at the shorebase (waste disposal note observed). Most mortality is disposed of in the domestic waste skip Only treatments on site are for sample weights Triploid stock on site from Kames Broodstock program Fish due to be moved off the site week after inspection. Good feed response observed on site

A small number side swimmers observed close to the middle of the cages. Fish were able to right themselves once feeding Inspection of site and paperwork conducted by **served**, observed by **served**.

FHI 059, Version 13	k	lss	sued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0067	Site No:	FS0468	8		
Date of Visit:	14/03	8/2024		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Autho						
	tails summary checked	d by site represen	tative?		Y	
2. Changes made to	o details?				Y	
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis <u>h for all</u>	sections)				
Total No facilities	3	Facilities st	tocked	3	No facilities inspecte	d 3
Species	RTR		T			
Age group	MVG					
No Fish	45,940					
Mean Fish Wt	200g					
Next Fallow Date (S	Site) 21/03/2	24	Next Input D		~Aug 2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease problems?			N Any escapes	s (since last visit)?	N
If yes, detail:						
Movement Records						
	ds available for inspec	tion?				Y
2. Date of last inspe	· · · ·	lion:			12/11/202	21
•	plete and correctly ent	torod?			12/11/20	Y
	ecords available for de		2			Y
	plete and correctly ent					Y
	cates for introductions		ilable?			N/A
0. Are nearly contine	dies for introductions	(Outwith Ob) area				
Transport Records	5					
•	ents carried out by (or o	on behalf) of the t	ousiness (not u	sing a STB)?		N
-	stem in place for main					
Martality Bacarde						
Mortality Records						
•	available for inspectio	n?		Demestic	-t	نعصد الم
2. How are mortalitie If other detail:	es disposed or :			Domestic wa	iste - <20kg	
	and correct	Lucatored 2				
3. Mortality records	complete and correct	-		0. 10 Set (0.1	20() 1.0.00 Esh (0	
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 w/ks):	wk 10: 80 fi fish (0.19%		k 9: 40 fish (0.0	09%), wk 8: 62 fish (0.1	13%), wk 7:89
• •	nt increased/atypical r		<u>)</u>			N
	o mortality per facility/r		hulroacan:			
IT yes, facility hoshid) monality per racinty/	10 Stock per lacing	.y/reason.			
6 Any other peaks	in mortality during per	iod checked?				Y
o. Any outer press			end of 2023 in	to Jan 2024. Ir	ncrease to maximum in	o wk 1 2024
		•			due to slack bird nets. 7	
If yes, detail:	have since been cha	anged and mortalit	ity reduced to ba			
7. Have increased ((unexplained) mortaliti					N/A
If yes, detail action:						
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been reported to	o FHI? If no, ente	r details on mo	rtality events s	heet.	N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: TMS		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspe-	ection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly e	entered?	N
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for insp		Y
	ortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ŷ
•	the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at th		ř
	e event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	v
	d when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	
health status, certification if required)?	animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	1
riealth status, certification in required):		
6 Have the husbandry and biosecurity	measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
• •	(movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures bee	n adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance b	een carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspec	tion?	Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recen	it disease problems).	
Records checked be	etween: 12/11/21 - 14/03/24	

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

FRI 059, Version 15					Date of Issue. 12/05/2020			
Case Number:	2024-0067		Insp:					
Date of Visit	14/03/2024		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10		
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0	
species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26		
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	3	
	Number of des	tinations	0	3	6	10	3	
Exposure via water	I- · · · ·	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ted (secure water supply through borehole)	0					
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1	
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6			
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8			
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure			
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0	
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0	
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1					
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2					
	equivalent stat		4					
	-	n from Category III farm	8					
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10					
Disposal of fish and fish by-		• •	0					
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3	
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5					
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0	
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5					
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4			
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1	
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1	
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0	
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1					
CoGP/Regulator								
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0	
code of practice	No		3					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0	
	No		2					
					Total Rank		12 LOW	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0067	Site No:	FS0468
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e	s in the previous 4 years? quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med d of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
 5. Are sea lice count records available for ins 6. Do records adequately reflect the required 	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		
	is taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	l out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice it	ement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	Y)
Bird nets, tensioned nets, pest control on If other, detail below:	shore base	
3 Have escape incidents or events been even	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip	-	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	•	
-	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action as Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measured	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0067]		Date of visit:	14/03/2024	1		
Site No:	FS0468]		Inspector				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica	ation		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			=					
			=					

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI	21/03/2024		

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0134

 SITE NO
 FS0468

 CASE NO
 20240067

DATE OF VISIT14/03/2024SITE NAMELoch TralaigINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Information has since been provided to the Fish health inspectorate to demonstrate that documentation is being adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 21/03/2024

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)