FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0079			Date of visit: 27/05/2024
Time spent on site:	5 Hours	Main I	nspector:
Site No: FS1121 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Bight of Bellister, Dury Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.7	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see addition	nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected in overcast conditions with a flat sea state, visibility of the stocks was good. Fish were observed shoaling well, 2 moribunds (pens 2 and 4) and 2 runts (pen 10) were observed during the inspection. Site staff were conducting lice counts during the time of inspection, fish appeared healthy with clean gills and good body condition, lice counts were low.

1 fish was removed for VMD sampling from cage 8, the fish appeared healthy both internally and externally.

Most recent treatments were with Salmosan on 18/05/2024 and with Slice on 31/03/2024. Both were clear from their withdrawal periods on the date of inspection. The site are currently using MS-222 for anesthetization.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0079		Site No:	FS1121				
Date of Visit:		27/05/2024	1		Inspector(s)	:		
Registration/Author	rication Data	sile						
1. Business/site deta			site renresents	ntive?			N	1
2. Changes made to	•	onconca by c	nie represente	uivo:			N	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities	0.00	12	Facilities sto	cked	10	No faciliti	ies inspected	12
Species	SAL						<u> </u>	
Age group	2023 Q4							
No Fish	375,017							
Mean Fish Wt	1.32Kg							
Next Fallow Date (S		01/25	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	09/25	•	•
Recent (last 4 wks)		ems?			Any escapes	s (since las	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	·			•		`	·	
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a sys Mortality Records	olete and correctords available lete and corrected attestion for introductions.	le for dead fisectly entered' luctions (outv	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa chalf) of the bu	able? siness (not us	_		27/02/2023	Y Y Y N/A
1. Mortality records	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Other (detai	l)		
If other detail:	Whole fish -							
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (18 (2,501 0.6	, ,	ek 20 (1,091	0.29%), W	eek 19 (658, 0.	,.
5. Evidence of recer		• •		/				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per racility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period of	necked?					N
If yes, detail:	Thortality du	ing period ci	icokou:					14
7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	arroxpiairiou) i		o. roportod to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				, .
8. Have 'mortality ev	rents' been re	ported to FHI	l? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events s	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S Salmosan	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
o. The medicines stored appropriatory.	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 26/04/2022 - 27/05/2024	

Pri Tir sta En	ase no: riority samples: me sampling arts/ends: nvironmental conditions: ummary samples	2024-00 VI 14:0 1 HIST		Site No: BA 15:0		FS1121	Inspecto	MG	Date of v Sampling	y: HI	27/0 VMD No	05/2024	27/0
Tir sta En	me sampling arts/ends: nvironmental conditions:	14:0		15:0	0:00	L	Inspecto	MG		HI	VMD No		
sta En	arts/ends: nvironmental conditions:	1			0:00		Inspecto	r:			V/MD NI		
			Dry	2				_			VIVID INC).	7
Su	ummary samples	HIST		-1	Cloudy	3	=	4	=	5			
				ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
	Fish/Pools - click												
	sh nos	1											
		1											
	pecies	SAL											
	verage weight	1.3200											
Se		N/A											
	ater Type	SW											
: Details	tock Origin	Barcaldine (FS1328)											

_												
Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:									
]	Total To	ests ass	igned	0								
	L				Additional Sample Information: Total Tests assigned 0			Additional Sample Information:				

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0079		Site No:	FS1121		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/05/2024		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
CFC0.00	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mariamanta aff							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambor or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
Coo or ampaotounous roodo	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	<u> </u>	Number of sites		J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0079	Site No:	S1121
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the poly. 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent as a seamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as a sean these be deployed in a reasonable period of times.	t) fallowed synchronously on a single year r-feed and bath sea lice medications (includances to suitable biological and/or mechan	ding deltamethrin,
 Is there a signed documented farm management as Management Area (or equivalent)? 	greement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection?6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard		al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the secords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	suggested criteria for treatment in the CoG	P during the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) no 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that re	•	ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health In: O. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considerable.	·	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken be 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cold. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where feece lice?	had a significant impact upon the lice level coperation between participating farms?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management proceeds of the second of the		ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect s	ea lice count data? If no please detail reas	sons. Y
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predaction of the property of the		uction cycles? N Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced	•	ast FHI inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to quest. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local file.	(where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17	•
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees?	If yes give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 3. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the be considered under satisfactory measures of the	e risk of further escapes? (Not covered in c	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to		(s) Y

		D ()
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0079	Site No: FS1121	
Date of Visit: 27/05/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	vithin a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require complete		
•	Management Agreements and Statement	
Has a current farm management agreeIs the current FMAg/S available for ins	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepar	red?
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	•	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of c	commencement of the agreement or states	ment? Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of r	review?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement	
<u> </u>	m health standards for the stocks to be intr	roduced to the area or Y
farm?		
	tion requirements for stocks held in the are	
	s of fish which may be stocked into the are um stocking density of any pen on any farr	
individual farm?	uni stocking density of any perion any fan	in the area of the
	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	m?	
Arrangements for The Management of	f Sea Lice	
	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	nbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	pility and the use of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreement
	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	le treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farr		
	stances under which biological controls an	nd cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual fa	arms <i>?</i> ements for synchronous treatments on farı	ms within the area?
17. Does the FWAg/S identity the arrange	ements for synchronous treatments of fair	ins within the area:
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced of	
	ements for the movement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area
or individual farms?		is on older in the dreat

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow cked? one or more year classes may be stocked onto si	
agreement or statement?	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag	ement Agreements Only	
24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	nent include arrangements for persons to becom	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or softhe FMAg/S? 27/09/2022	statement?

Case No:	0004 0070	1		5	. 07/05/0/	20.4				
	2024-0079	J		Date of vis	sit: 27/05/20) 2 4				
Site No:	FS1121]		Inspect	or:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
Results Summary	1 164.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
						J		2 11100		
					_					
	+				_					
	+				_					
	+									
	+									
	+				_					
	+									
					_					
					_					
	+				_					
	+									
Report Summary										
	Doto	Insp	2 ^{na} Inan							
Case Type	Date		2 nd Insp							
Case Type	31/05/2024		Z IIISP							
Case Type			Z IIISP							
Case Type			2 πισρ							
Case Type			2 Πιδρ							
Case Type			2 Πιορ							
Case Type			2 Πιδρ							
Case Type			2 ΠΙδρ							
Case Type ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD			2 Πιδρ							

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 27/05/2024

SITE NO FS1121 SITE NAME Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe

Case No 20240079 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 10/06/2024