FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of	issue: 12/0	5/2020
Case No: 2024-0083			Da	ate of visit:	28/05/2024	
Time spent on site:	Hours	M	lain Inspector:			ı
Site No: FS0472 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Wick of Belmont Cooke Aquaculture	e Scotland Ltd			∃
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	5 6	;		
Water Temp (°C): 10.1	Thermometer No:	T309] FI	HI 045 comple	eted	N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	S-2	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see add	ditional informat ditional informat ditional informat	tion/clinical sc	ore sheet.	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Paperwork inspected remotely on 17/05/2024.

Site inspected in a calm sea state in overcast weather, visibility of the stocks was good. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. No clinical signs of disease observed during the inspection of the stocks, fish looked to be in very good condition and feeding well. 3 Runts observed across the whole site (pens 2, 5 and 8).

One fish was removed for VMD sampling and was healthy both internally and externally.

Site does not fallow synchronously due to only sites from the same company within disease management area.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0083		Site No:	FS0472				
Date of Visit:		28/05/2024	•]		Inspector(s):			i
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	J
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12			12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2023 S1							
No Fish	303,885							
Mean Fish Wt	3.9Kg	10/0004		11 12 15	(0)	20/2005		
Next Fallow Date (Si	,	12/2024		Next Input Da		02/2025	·-:4\0	N.
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last	VISIT)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							01/11/2021	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement red	cords availabl	e for dead fis	sh and waste?	,				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	uctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen					-			N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	Whole fish -							
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality ((lost 4 wks):		Week 22 (15 19 (0.0%)	53, 0.05%) Wee	ek 21 (151, 0.0	05%), Wee	k 20 (286, 0.0)9%), Week
5. Evidence of recen		typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		//reason:				
ii yoo, idoiiity rioo, iio	mortality poi	radiity/110 cto	ok por radiity,	/ICGOOTI.				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		- U						
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) ı	nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 01/11/2021 - 28/05/2024	

	mi 059, version 15							133	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2024-00)83	Site No:		FS0472			Date of Samplin		28/0	05/2024	28/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampiii	g. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		0:00	15:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. [12
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Δ	add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No												
H	Fish nos	1											
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL											
	Average weight	3.9000											
	Sex	N/A											
	Water Type	SW											
Stock Details		Fossaway (FS0371)											

05/2024 Additiona	al Sample Info	rmation:						
О Т	Total Tests as	signed (0					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0083		Site No:	FS0472		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/05/2024		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	0
opeoies	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of dest	Site contacts			<u>ီ</u> 6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	T	1-5	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b	oorehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
Pro Pro	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu	from Category III farm	4				
			8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		23
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0083	Site No: FS0472	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallow 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single year class be nd bath sea lice medications (including delt	amethrin,
 Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)? 	nt or statement relevant to the site and CoC	SP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specif	·	CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in the CoGP during	the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records a		r to w/b 10/6/19) or N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		N/A N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other a suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a si 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperat 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	ion between participating farms?	Υ
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set actions to de	eal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail reasons.	Y
Containment Inspection I. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Seal Pro nets, f other, detail below:		rcles?
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI in	nspection? N
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where to be these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries).	hey exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ive detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 3. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to contain	inment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

		D
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0083 S	ite No: FS0472	
Date of Visit: 28/05/2024	Inspector:	
	· -	
Daint of Compliance		
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located with	hin a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require completion		ı
	o	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma		
2. Has a current farm management agree		ed?
Is the current FMAg/S available for inspDoes the FMAg/S identify the relevant f		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm		· Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co		nent? Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	view?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managen	nent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum		oduced to the area or Y
farm?		
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
 Does the FMAg/S identify the species Does the FMAg/S identify the maximula 	•	
individual farm?	in stocking density of any pen on any fam	Till the area of the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger		dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm	1?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangemen		bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabil of statement?	ity and the use of medicines on farms cov	rered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirer	ments for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farm		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst		d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual far 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger		ns within the area?
17. Does the Fiving/S identity the attailger	monto for synonionous treatments off fami	ins within the area:
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst	tances when live fish may be introduced o	
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger	ments for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area
or individual farms?	monto for the movement of five field off and	a on sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date	e of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest	Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the paragraph or statement?	e Y
agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 12/04/2024	Y

O N.	0004 0000			5	00/05/0	20.4				
Case No:	2024-0083	J		Date of v	sit: 28/05/20	J 2 4				
Site No:	FS0472]		Inspec	tor:					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
results Cultillary	r req.	Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
						<u> </u>		2 11100		
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD	12/06/2024									
<u>i</u>										

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NOFB0095DATE OF VISIT28/05/2024SITE NOFS0472SITE NAMEWick of BelmontCASE NO20240083INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

R25

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 12/06/2024 Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)