FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0112				Date of visit: 2	24/04/2024
Time spent on site:	5 hours		Main Inspector	:	
Site No: FS0865 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Marulaig Bay Mowi Scotland I	_td		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 9.07	Thermometer No:	T310	\supset	FHI 045 complet	ted
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	: S	CoGP MA:	W-20
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•			nation/clinical sco	
Clinical signs of disease observed	d?			nation/clinical sco	
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see a	additional inform	nation/clinical scc	ore sneet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Last health report: 12/04/2024 - Monitoring of heart abnormalities (mishaped and size), possible HSMI, CGD, AGD.

LUM mortality: Wk13: 1468 (3.44%), Wk14: 1103 (2.68%), Wk15: 2472 (4.09%), Wk16: 1225 (2.11%) - mortalities attributed to bad weather, pseduomonas and freshwater treatments.

Treatments: SLICE 04/04 to 13/04. Freshwater Wk15.

During the physical inspection fish were difficult to observe below water due to the weather conditions. Fish had a good feed response. Fish caught for VMD sampling appeared healthy internally and externally with only 2 caligus found on the caught fish.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0112		Site No:	FS0865				
Date of Visit:		24/04/2024	Į]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	11	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL	LUM						
Age group	2023 Q4	2023						
No Fish	994,285	56,566						
Mean Fish Wt	1.653kg	45-50g						
Next Fallow Date (S		March/April 2	2025	Next Input Da	_ ` ′	Sep/Oct 2		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Movement record Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement yes, is there a syst Mortality Records Mortality records How are mortalities 	ection: plete and corrected available states for introducts at carried out tem in place for available for in	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be for maintenan	? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	-		13/03/2024	Y Y Y Y N/A Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
If other detail:	Whiteshore				Other (detail))		
3. Mortality records			ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (5. Evidence of recer If yes, facility nos/no	(last 4 wks): nt increased/a	atypical mortal	SAL: Wk13: 2130 (0.2%) lities?		Nk14: 2579 (0).24%), Wk′	15: 3757 (0.34	4%), Wk16: N
C. Any other peaks:			5 a al ca dO					N N
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period cr	necked?					N
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	ported to FHI	l? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sl	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Med			
1. Recent treatments (,		Y
If yes, detail:	SLICE, T.M.S		
If other, detail:			
	available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records comple	te and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdra	awal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatme	ent(s)?	SLICE, T.M.S	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines store	ed appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records	available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and	d frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
		Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ed) mortality at the site been included		Y
· ·	· ·	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	ded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be n	·	Y
		ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certificat	•	tod on the farm one boom covered (equal or mighter	
6. Have the husbandry	v and hiosecurity measures implemen	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
-	•	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		ace to maintain the physical containment of	V
		ace to maintain the physical containment of	<u> </u>
aquaculture animals h		mantad an aita?	
If no, detail:	y procedures been adequately implen	mented on site?	'
ii iio, detaii.			
Results of Surveillan			
		or on bobolf of the business?	$\overline{}$
-	alth surveillance been carried out by, o	or on benail of, the business?	
	vailable for inspection?		I
3. Any significant resu			Y
If yes, detail (if not det	ailed under recent disease problems)). See additional info	
D.	and the standard land and a standard land	140/00/0004 OA/04/0004	
Re	ecords checked between:	13/03/2024 - 24/04/2024	

٠.	ai 059, version 15							133	ueu by. Fi	111			
	Case no:	2024-01	112	Site No:		FS0865			Date of vi Sampling		24/0	04/2024	24/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	•	0:00		0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	20
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	_	5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3-4	5-6									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	1.6530	1.6530	1.6530									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
									_				
									_				
			_	_					_				
Details		SSE	Jar	nar					_				
eta		ž	Ęį	fin									
Ā		Loch Ness	Glenfinnan	Glenfinnan									
Stock	Stock Origin												
S	Facility No	1	6	9									

Trill dod, Volcion 10															
04/2024 Additional Sample Information:															
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0112		Site No:	FS0865		Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/04/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	40	200	0
opeoies	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
							40
Movements off	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	10
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts			6-10	10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	, <u> </u>	I-3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	1				
		from Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	re only processed		,]			
products		sses with other farms	0	•			
	•	for waste from other farms	3	1			3
	·		5	<u> </u>			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	•	<u> </u>	0				0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0112	Site No:	50865
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includwell as access to suitable biological and/or mechan	ling deltamethrin,
	ement agreement or statement relevant to the site a	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGF	oduring the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abood that records are inspected?	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish F9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problems	N/A N
suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levelongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications applicable)? Is taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels out in cooperation between participating farms? Where fewer populations or part populations are he	s? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) N/A Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ement procedure with waypoints describing set action festation?	ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	ons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous produ he predation experienced on site? (Detail below) n and bird nets	nction cycles? N Y
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was permission mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in cove	
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s	s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
	Site No: FS0865	Date of 135de. 12/03/2020
Case No. 2024-0112	1 30003	
Date of Visit: 24/04/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection located with	thin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completi		
·	anagement Agreements and Statement	
Has a current farm management agreeIs the current FMAg/S available for inst	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	Y Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	site(s) to which it applies?	Y
	ommencement of the agreement or staten	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	eview?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manager	ment	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum	health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
farm?	on requirements for stealing held in the ore	o or form?
	on requirements for stocks held in the area of fish which may be stocked into the area	
	im stocking density of any pen on any farm	
individual farm?	3 , ,,	
	ments for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farn	ነ?	
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	nts for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available	lity and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
of statement?	my and the doc of medianies on farms sev	relea by the agreement
	ments for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea Y
lice on farms in the area or individual farm		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums used on farms in the area or individual far	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
, ,		
Live Fish Movements	1 F C.I.	V
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallowed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto soodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only Int include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and or 26. What is the version no/date of issue or	perated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S? Jan-23	statement? Y

Case No:	2024-0112			Date of visit:	24/04/2024							
Site No:	FS0865]		Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	Insp	Date of Notification Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp								
		Dalabase	шър	FIIONE	шър	willing	шър	2 ^m Insp				
		<u> </u>										
Report Summary]								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	29/04/2024											

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 24/04/2024 Site No FS0865 Site Name Marulaig Bay Case No 20240112 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues. R25

UKAS Accredited Testing Body - Type C No. 0269
Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB
Tel - 0131 244 3498 Email - ms.fishhealth@gov.scot
Website - https://www.gov.scot/policies/fish-health-inspectorate/

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 29/04/2024