FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0153			Date of visit: 05/06/2024
Time spent on site: 4	hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1334 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Grey Horse Channel Outer Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10	Thermometer No:	T305	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: W-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Main salmon mortality causes are seal predation (particularly pens 50 & 51) and poor performers. Looking at adding extra measures to prevent seal predation. Main cause of lumpfish mortalities is Tenacibaculum.

Salmon on site are from Groatay and Grey Horse Channel, lumpfish are from Ocean Matters. Site currently has 8 pens (permission for 12), but will be adding another 2 to thin out stock and will also transfer some fish to Grey Horse Channel. Harvested some salmon in May.

Freshwater treatments in March and April.

Site known as Greanem within company.

No moribund fish observed, fish deep in water. Two fish removed for VMD sampling appeared healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0153		Site No:	FS133	4			
Date of Visit:		05/06/202	24		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth	orisation De	tails						
1. Business/site de			site represent	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made t		,	·				Υ	1
Site Details (inclu	de cleaner fi	ish for all sec	ctions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	ocked	8	No facilitie	s inspected	8
Species	SAL	LUM		1			1	
Age group	2023 Q2	2024						
No Fish	479,406	18,224						
Mean Fish Wt	3.9kg	Adult						
Next Fallow Date (September	2024	Next Input D	ate (Site)	Septembe	r 2025	
Recent (last 4 wks)					N Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:	alcouse prof				, iiiy oodapoo	(0.1100 1.001	violi, i	
Movement Record	le.							
1. Movement recor		for increation	2					
2. Date of last inspe		or inspection	f				26/07/2022	<u> </u>
3. Are records com		rractly antara	43				20/01/2022	Y
4. Are movement re	•	•		2				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
5. Are records com				f				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
o. Are nealth certiff	cates for intit	Jauctions (ou	twitti Gb) avaii	able :				19/74
Transport Record	e							
1. Are any movement		out by (or on b	ehalf) of the h	usings (not u	eina a STR\2			Y
If yes, is there a sys					-			·
ii yes, is there a sy	sterri iri piace	; ioi iiiaiiileiia	ince or transpo	ntation records	5			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalit					Other (detail)			
If other detail:		e Cockles, rer						
3. Mortality records	complete an	nd correctly er	ntered?					Y
			Salmon - W	′k 19 (6/5/24) =	= 936 (0.17%),	Wk 20 (13/	5/24) = 814 (0.15%), Wk
					s), Wk 22 (27/2			
			Lumpfish - \	Wk 19 (6/5/24)) = 121 (0.64%)), Wk 20 (13	3/5/24) = 165	(0.88%), Wk
4. Recent mortality	` ,) = 457 (2.45%	5), Wk 22 (27/2	/24) = 350 (1.92%)	
5. Evidence of rece		• •						Y
If yes, facility nos/n		•						
Pen 50 - 332 in we				n week 22 (sto	cked 70,491) -	both due to	seal predation	
6. Any other peaks	in mortality of	luring period (checked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased	•) mortalities b	een reported t	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8 Have 'mortality e	vente' heen r	reported to El	112 If no enter	dotails on mo	rtality avente ch	noot		Y

1. Recent treatments (see comment):	
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
25/5/2022 (mortality/treatments/health reports) 6/10/	2021 (sea

25/5/2022 (mortality/treatments/health reports) 6/10/2021 (sea lice/BMP/FMS) - 5/6/2024

	1 059, Version 15							133	ueu by.				
	Case no:	2024-01	153	Site No:		FS1334			Date of Samplin		05/	06/2024	05/0
ı	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ig. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:0	00:00	14:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	16
1	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
:	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Ad	d Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	3.9 kg	3.9 kg										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
1	Water Type	SW	SW										
	Stock Origin Facility No	Groatay (Inchmore)	Sroatay (Inchmore)										

06/2024 Additional Sample Information:													
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0153		Site No:	FS1334		Insp:	
Date of Visit	05/06/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	18	26	0
·	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0	5		14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
				•	_ , .		
					Total Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0153	Site No:	FS1334
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equiva 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced	lent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye	
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well a can these be deployed in a reasonable period of til	as access to suitable biological and/or mecl	
4. Is there a signed documented farm managemer Management Area (or equivalent)?	nt agreement or statement relevant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm
 Are sea lice count records available for inspection Do records adequately reflect the required stand 		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ne suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	pGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period tha		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is co		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
 Have therapeutic treatments been administere suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elonga</i> Has any other action been taken (where applice) 	atus is considered to have welfare implicati	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken the actions taken the actions taken the treatments, where conducted, carried out in	en had a significant impact upon the lice le	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, wher sea lice?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15. Is there a site specific written lice management scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infesta		actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks refle	ct sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons.
Containment Inspection		
 Has the site experienced equipment damage du Are measures in place to mitigate against the pr 		
Bird nets Tensioned nets If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experier If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to q	uestion 10	e last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwi		17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and loca	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapee	s? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
What action was taken to prevent and minimise be considered under satisfactory measures o		n code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regard		on(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0153	Site No: FS1334	
Date of Visit: 05/06/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require compl	letion.	
2. Has a current farm management agr	Management Agreements and Statemerement or statement (FMAg/S) been preparation	
Is the current FMAg/S available for irDoes the FMAg/S identify the relevant	·	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far	•	Y
	f commencement of the agreement or sta	tement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review'?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manages. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	gement um health standards for the stocks to be i	ntroduced to the area or Y
	ation requirements for stocks held in the a	area or farm?
	ies of fish which may be stocked into the a mum stocking density of any pen on any f	
	agements for the storage and disposal of a	any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management	of Sea Lice	
	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice no	umbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availation of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
	irements for the sensitivity testing of availarms?	able treatments for sea
•	mstances under which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran	rarms? agements for synchronous treatments on f	arms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
	mstances when live fish may be introduce	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arran or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on	and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	arvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallod? or more year classes may be stocked onto odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	ent Agreements Only t include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 21/8/2023 (21/2/2024	

Site No: FS1334

Case No: 2024-0153

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2024-0153	1		Data of visi	it: 05/06/20	24					
Odde No.	2024-0100	1		Date Of VISI	03/00/20						
Site No:	FS1334	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
			_		_						
					_						
					_						
					_						
					_						
	_		_		_						
Damant Comme											
Report Summary	Dete	laan	ond I								
Case Type ECI/CNI/SLI/VMD	Date 13/06/2024	Insp	2 nd Insp								
EOI/OIN/OEI/VIVID	13/00/2024										
1											

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 05/06/2024

SITE NO FS1334 SITE NAME Grey Horse Channel Outer

Case No 20240153 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R25

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 13/6/2024