FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of i	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0189			[Date of visit:	19/06/2024
Time spent on site:	.5 hours	N	Main Inspector:		
Site No: FS1304 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Maragay Mor Bakkafrost Scotla	ind		
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 11.24	Thermometer No:	T310		FHI 045 comple	eted N/A
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	W-15
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see ac	dditional informa dditional informa dditional informa	ation/clinical sc	ore sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Came onto site with frunculosis from the hatchery.

Health report - 21/05/2024 - Frunculosis is in the background at lower levels (considered inactive)

Mortality: Peaks - 2022 - Week 46 3.2% (18,598) due to freshwater treatment, 2023 - Weeks 32 and 33 12.58% and 12.80% just before harvesting due to CMS. New cycle mortality has been low since input - apart from initial input losses.

Treatments: Last cycle freshwater treatments and FLS treatment. This cycle - SLICE 25th April - 2nd May 2024. Syncaine (active ingredient Tricaine methanesulfonate) for health treatments but going back to using Tricaine once they have used up the supply of Syncaine until fish are 2.5kg. When fish are above 2.5kg the site will then use Optomease.

Lice: Highest this cycle has been 0.05 and last cycle 0.73 adult females. Lice levels this cycle have been 0.01 or below.

During physical inspection of the site no mortality was seen. Fish were observed shoaling and had good feed responses.

Fish for VMD samples were taken from pens 7 and 9 - all fish were clear of lice and appeared healthy both externally and internally.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0189		Site No:	FS1304				
Date of Visit:		19/06/2024]		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	•	Í	·				Υ	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fisl	n for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL						1	
Age group	2024 Q2							
No Fish	913,302							
Mean Fish Wt	290g							
Next Fallow Date (Si		June 2025		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Nov/Dec 2	2025	
Recent (last 4 wks) of		ems?			Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	Furunculosis						·	
Marramant Dagania								
Movement Records 1. Movement records		inapaction?						V
		inspection?					24/05/2022	ī
2. Date of last inspec		oth, optorod?					24/05/2022	V
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement red								Y
5. Are records comp		•		-1-0				N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	uctions (outw	ith GB) avalla	adie?				IN/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	its carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place fo	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	vailable for ir	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail)			
	Whiteshore ((()			
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
				% (132), Wk 2	3: 0.02% (152), Wk 22: 0.	.02% (261), W	/k 21: 0.04%
4. Recent mortality (I	ast 4 wks):		(368)		•			
5. Evidence of recen	t increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks ir	mortality du	ring pariod ch	ookod2					V
	See additiona							1
7. Have increased (u				vet or EHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	inexplaineu) l	nortailles De	en reported to	VOLULTII!				14/7
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen ro	onted to FHI	? If no enter (details on mort	ality events sh	neet		Y

Treatments and Me	edicines Records	
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	Syncaine	
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records	s available for inspection?	Y
•	lete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withd		Y
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?	
If other, detail:	Syncaine	
6. Are medicines sto	ored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	-	
	Is available for inspection?	Y
	and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplain	ned) mortality at the site been included?	Y
	at will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
	luded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
	atus of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific	cation if required)?	
	dry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
	ase been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals	-	
	rity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveilla		
•	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
•	available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant res		Y
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems). See additional information	
	D 4/95/2000 40/20/2004	
F	Records checked between: 24/05/2022 - 19/06/2024	

Н	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	uea by: FF	11			
	Case no:	2024-01	189	Site No:		FS1304			Date of vis		19/0	06/2024	19/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	НІ			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	16:0	0:00	16:2	0:00		Inspecto	or:		_	VMD No). [9
	Environmental conditions:	1	Cloudy	2	Windy	3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-5	6-9										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	0.2900	0.2900										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	SW	SW										
ck Details	Stock Origin	Applecross Hatchery (FS1362)	Applecross Hatchery (FS1362)										
Stock	Facility No	7	9										
_		_											_

06/2024	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:										
	Percussive dispatch													
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0189		Site No:	FS1304		Insp:	
Date of Visit	19/06/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	10	26	0
орсою	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			26 14	0
				ļ			- 10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Exposure via water	Number of desi	Site contacts				10	3
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	l u	I -3	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or b		0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I		_	4		
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		 			
processors	7.		0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of		-			
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Feeding unpas	<u>.</u>	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	ມ 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	Ιο	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0		2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			
between sites, use of	No		1				
footbaths etc CoGP/Regulator				J			
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry	No		0	-			0
code of practice	INU		3]			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
				J			
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0189	Site No:	FS1304
3. Does the site have access to a range	olems in the previous 4 years? (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yeof licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (included) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	luding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm ma	anagement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available fo	or inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) uired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record level records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	s below the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ($\it L$ 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the	. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a period that records are inspected?	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
•	ish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. which is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
•	dministered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, ca	ere applicable)? ctions taken had a significant impact upon the lice leveried out in cooperation between participating farms? site, where fewer populations or part populations are	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice ma scenarios during the escalation of a sea	nagement procedure with waypoints describing set ad lice infestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on st	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	yasons.
	amage due to predators in the current or previous proinst the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
If other, detail below:		
Bird pole top nets, seal fence, weighted	seal pro nets and froyer ring system.	
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No. 4. Have these been reported to Scottish 5. Have these been reported to local DS		17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recove	r escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18	minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	
•	with regards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	n(s) Y

		B
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0189	Site No: FS1304	
Date of Visit: 19/06/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a farm management area?	
1. Is the farm under inspection located v		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm I	Management Agreements and Statem	ents
2. Has a current farm management agre	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been pre	pared?
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	•	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	_	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farrDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of	commencement of the agreement or sta	atement?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	•	Y
,		
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimulary	m health standards for the stocks to be	introduced to the area or
	ation requirements for stocks held in the	area or farm?
•	es of fish which may be stocked into the	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximindividual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any	farm in the area or the
	gements for the storage and disposal of	any dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual far	rm?	
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice n	numbers and treatments?
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3	
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir	rements for the sensitivity testing of avail	lable treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual far		
Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls	and cleaner fish are to be Y
	gements for synchronous treatments on	farms within the area?
17. Does the 1 W/Ng/O Identity the arrang	gemente for synomenous treatments on	idinis within the dreat.
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduce	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on	and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or in	ndividual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by date when a farm or area may be restocked		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?		to sites covered by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	odstock or potential broodstock are to be l	kept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreemer parties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of		

O N.	0004.0400			5	40/00/04	20.4					
Case No:	2024-0189	J		Date of vi	isit: 19/06/20	024					
Site No:	FS1304]		Inspec	tor:						
Danisha Ossanana	I	Date of Notification									
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	ng Insp 2 nd				
		Databas	ПОР	i nono	Пор	witting	тюр	2 nd Insp			
	_										
	+										
	+										
	+										
Report Summary				7							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	25/06/2024		2 11105								
	_										
	+										

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0169 DATE OF VISIT 19/06/2024
SITE NO FS1304 SITE NAME Maragay Mor
CASE NO 20240189 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 25/06/2024
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)