FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0210			Date of visit: 08/07/2024
Time spent on site:	4hrs	Main Inspecto	pr:
Site No: FS0504 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Girlsta Hatchery Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.3	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		Y If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

#### Additional Case Information:

Temperature noted is an average from the fry and smolt units.

Morts

First feed morts: 2023 (no longer on site) - 2023 wk22 2.05% 35912. Smolt - 2023 wk41 1.28% 15297, wk42 2.10% 24756, wk43 0.93% 10641. Parr - 2024 wk13 1.95% 35456, wk14 1.87% 33231. Fry (poor performers/ deformities) - 2024 wk25 0.91% 18811, wk26 2.87% 59033, wk27 2.82% 53975

Saprolegnia confirmed on site via company routine surveillance. Treating with Aquacen and Cress. Fish have been improving since treatments.

Site observed slight increases of mortalities post vaccination. Fish vaccinated week 25.

General population of fish were shoaling as expected, responded to external stimuli and had a good feed response. In the Smolt Unit some fish were observed to be lethargic with low presence of fungus.

5 healthy fish were removed from 2 different pens for VMD sampling. During VMD sampling visual inspection was conducted on the fish, the fish were observed to be healthy.

Inspection and paperwork was conducted by whilst supervised by while the same whi

FHI 059, Version 1	13			Issued by: F	FHI		Date of	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 20	24-0210	]	Site No:	FS0504	]			
Date of Visit:		08/07/2024	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Auth 1. Business/site de			d by site repre	contativo?			V	1
2. Changes made		-	a by site repre	sentative :			Y	1
Site Details (inclu	ude clean	er fish for all	sections)					
Total No facilities		38 + hatch	Facilities sto	cked	36	No facilities in	nspected	38 + hatch
Species SA	AL .	SAL	SAL					
	)24 fry	2024 parr	2024 smolt					
No Fish 1,7	731,100	1,629,031	659,159					
0.	5g	14.6g	91.9g					
Mean Fish Wt		Never fallow		Next Input D	ata (Sita)	Sontombor 2	024	
Next Fallow Date				Next Input D	Any escapes	September 2		NI
Recent (last 4 wks		•			Any escapes	(SINCE IAST VIS	sit) :	IN
If yes, detail: Fu	ingus - Sa	prolegnia						
Movement Recor	rds							
1. Movement reco		ble for inspec	tion?					Y
2. Date of last insp							06/02/2023	
3. Are records con		correctly ent	ered?			•		Y
4. Are movement	•	•		aste?				Y
5. Are records con	nplete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
6. Are health certif	•	-		available?				Y
Transport Record	ds							
1. Are any movem	ents carrie	ed out by (or o	on behalf) of t	he business	(not using a S	ГВ)?		N
If yes, is there a sy	ystem in pl	lace for maint	tenance of tra	nsportation r	ecords?			N/A
Mortality Record	c							
1. Mortality record		e for inspectio	n?					Y
2. How are mortal					Other (detail)			
If other detail: Sk	(ip onsite, t	then taken to	Lerwick to be	e ensiled then	· · · · · ·			
3. Mortality record								Y
			wk24 - 25,67	<b>75 (0.56%)</b> , w	/k25 - 34470 (0	).76%), wk26	- 74425 (1.6	5%), wk27 -
4. Recent mortality	• •	· · ·	67375 (1.58)	%)				-
5. Evidence of rec								Y
If yes, facility nos/								
Increase morts ac					& fungus. Smo	olt units - Fung	jus.	
6. Any other peaks								Y
		al information						NI/A
7. Have increased		ned) mortaliti	es been repoi	ted to vet or	FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail action 8. Have 'mortality		en reported t	EHI2 If po	enter detaile r	n mortality ov	ents shoot		N/A
o. Have monality	events be	en reported to			on montaility ev	ents sheet.		11/7

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: Cress, Aquacen
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Cress, Aquacen
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or
higher health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish
etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). see recent disease problems
Records checked between: 08/07/2024 - 06/02/2023

FH	I 059, Version 13							Issu	ued by: F	FHI			
	Case no:	2024-02	210	Site No:		FS0504			Date of v		08/0	07/2024	08/(
	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:4	5:00	13:1	5: <b>00</b>		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р. <b>[</b>	4
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
	ld Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-4	5-7										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	91.9g	91.9g										
	Sex	N/A	N/A										
	Water Type	FW	FW										
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Stofnfiskur, Iceland	Stofnfiskur, Iceland										
S	Facility No	s13	s19										

07/2024	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
	VMD fis	sh dispa	tched by	/ percus	sion.						
0	I	Total T	ests ass	igned	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

FRI 059, Version 15		Issued by. FHI			Date	JI ISSUE	. 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0210		Site No:	FS0504		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/07/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	6
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or		0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	•		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	]			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		23
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0210	Site No:	FS0504
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or eq	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year	ar class basis?
	nced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incluvell as access to suitable biological and/or mechanol of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ment agreement or statement relevant to the site	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Coo	GP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period to	<i>nonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or at that records are inspected?	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which i	is considered to cause significant welfare probler	ns? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
•	tered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le</i> <i>congatus</i> is considered to have welfare implicatio	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice leve	els recorded?
	out in cooperation between participating farms?	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, v lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are h	neld without treatment for sea
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set ac ifestation?	tions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail rea	asons.
Containment Inspection		
•	e due to predators in the current or previous prod	duction cycles?
	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y
tanks indoors, pest control		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been expe	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	-	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist	•	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	thwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.7	17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag	reed with local wild fish interests and was permis	sion given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
-	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
To, is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If no, please detail reasor	1(5) T

Site No: FS0504

Case No: 2024-0210

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0210	]		Date of visit:	08/07/2024			
Site No:	FS0504	]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notificat	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp

		-	-	
Report Summary				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
ECI, CNI, VMD	22/07/2024			



## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125

 SITE NO
 FS0504

 CASE NO
 20240210

DATE OF VISIT08/07/2024SITE NAMEGirlsta HatcheryINSPECTORInspector

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04



## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 22/07/2024

**Fish Health Inspector** 

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)