FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0211			Date of visit: 09/07/2024
Time spent on site: 4	hrs	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0749 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Holms Geo Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.6	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:	

### FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

At time of inspection net washer was present due to this there was a slight increase of fouling on site. General population of fish were shoaling as expected, responded to external stimuli and had a good feed response. On average 3 deformities were observed per pen, but responded to stimuli. There has been an increase in jellyfish numbers across the site. Last treatment - Slice 07/05/2024 Wrasse were wild caught. No Wrasse mortalities since input.

3 healthy fish were removed from 1 pen for VMD sampling. During VMD sampling visual inspection was conducted on the fish, the fish were observed to be healthy. Sampled VMD fish in withdrawal from tricaine.

Inspection and paperwork was conducted by whilst supervised by . VMD sampled by

FHI 059, Versio	on 13			Issued by: F	FHI		Date o	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0211	]	Site No:	FS0749	)			
Date of Visit:		09/07/2024	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration//								_
1. Business/sit		-	d by site repre	esentative?			Y	
2. Changes ma	ade to details	?					Y	
Site Details (in	nclude clean	er fish for all	sections)					
Total No faciliti		6	Facilities sto	ocked	6	No facilities	inspected	6
Species	SAL	wild wrasse						
Age group	2024 Q1 S1							
No Fish	326,530	1,355						
Mean Fish Wt	405.8g	70.95g						
Next Fallow Da	te (Site)	July/ August	2025	Next Input L	ate (Site)	Jan - March	2026	
Recent (last 4	• •		2020		Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						(	,-	
Movement Re								
1. Movement r		ble for inspect	tion?				24/07/2022	Ý
<ol> <li>Date of last</li> <li>Are records</li> </ol>	•	l correctly ent	orod2				21/07/2022	Y
4. Are moveme	•	-		aste?				Y
5. Are records				4010.				Y
6. Are health c	•	-		available?				N/A
Transport Red								
1. Are any mov						TB)?		Y
If yes, is there	a system in p	lace for maint	enance of tra	insportation r	ecords?			Ý
Mortality Reco	orde							
1. Mortality rec		e for inspectio	n?					Y
2. How are mo		•			Other (detail)	)		
If other detail:	Skip onsite,	then taken to	Lerwick to be	e ensiled ther	to Pelegia			
3. Mortality rec	ords complete	e and correctl	y entered?					Y
4. Recent mort	• •	· ·		0.1%), wk26-	283 (0.1%), w	/k25- 532 (0.2	2%), w <mark>k24</mark> - 7	749 (0.2%)
5. Evidence of								IN
If yes, facility n	os/no mortaili	ty per facility/r	to stock per t	acility/reason	•			
6. Any other pe	eaks in mortal	itv durina peri	od checked?	,				N
If yes, detail:		,						
7. Have increa		ned) mortalitie	es been repo	rted to vet or	FHI?			N/A
If yes, detail ad								
8. Have 'morta	lity events' be	en reported to	o FHI? If no, (	enter details o	on mortality ev	ents sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail: Tricaine		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Trie	caine	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	L	Y
Biosecurity Records		
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Г	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, rec	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify \$	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pre	esence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that	t will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock	ked on the farm site been covered (equal or	Y
higher health status, certification if required)?	_	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement	nted between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movemen	nt of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y
etc.)?		
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pl	ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implementation of the second s	mented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by,	or on behalt of, the business?	Ŷ
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Ŷ
3. Any significant results?	,	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems	).	
Records checked between: 09/	/07/2024 - 21/07/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13				lse	sued by: FHI		
Case no:	2024-021	1 Site No:	FS0749		Date of visit/	09/07/2024	09/0
Priority samples:	VI	BA	PA	MG	Sampling:		
Time sampling starts/ends:	12:30:	:00 13:0	0:00	Inspector:		VMD No.	5
Environmental conditions:	1 🛛	ory 2	3	4	5		
Summary samples	HIST	BA	MG	VI	PA	Total S	amples
Add Fish/Pools - click							
Pool/Fish No							
Fish nos	1-3						
Pool Group							
Species	SAL						
Average weight	405.8g						
Sex	N/A						
Water Type	SW						
Stock Origin	ω Balcaldine FS1328						

)7/2024	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
	VMD fis	sh were	dispatch	ned via p	percussi	on					
0	I	Total T	ests ass	igned	0	l					

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

FRI 059, Version 15		Issued by. FHI			Date				
Case Number:	2024-0211		Site No:	FS0749		Insp:			
Date of Visit	09/07/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score		
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10			
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0		
species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	0		
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0		
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10		
	Number of des		0		6	10	3		
Exposure via water	<b>I-</b> · · · ·	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10				
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ted (secure water supply through borehole)	0						
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	e or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2		
	farms upstream	e or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6				
		e or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8				
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure				
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0		
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	ocessing	0				0		
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1						
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2						
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4						
	-	n from Category III farm	8						
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10						
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0		
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3						
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5						
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0	1			0		
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5						
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4				
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2		
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2				
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	]			0		
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1						
CoGP/Regulator				•					
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0		
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3	]					
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0		
	No		2						
					Total Ponk		17 MEDIUM		
					Rank		MEDIUM		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0211	Site No:	FS0749
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems i	n the previous 4 vears?	Ν
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ		ear class basis?
<ol> <li>Does the site have access to a range of licer azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as we can these be deployed in a reasonable period of</li> </ol>	nced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (inc ell as access to suitable biological and/or mech	luding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manager Management Area (or equivalent)?	nent agreement or statement relevant to the si	te and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspe	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required st		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	w the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salme</i> or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period the		bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He	alth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is	considered to cause significant welfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administ suggested criteria for treatment or where C. eld		
11. Has any other action been taken (where ap	plicable)?	N/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev	/els recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried o	ut in cooperation between participating farms?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, w lice?	here fewer populations or part populations are	held without treatment for sea Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice managem scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice inf		ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks re	eflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	e due to predators in the current or previous pro	oduction cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	e predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	) Y
Seal pro excel outer nets & nylon smolt inn	er, Bird nets	
If other, detail below:		
<ol> <li>Have escape incidents or events been expe</li> </ol>	rienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip t	o question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministe	ers?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fort		.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and		
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agr	eed with local wild fish interests and was permi	ission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
9. What action was taken to prevent and minim		n code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		() h.
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with reg	jards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s) Y

Case No: 2024-0211 Site No: FS0749	
Date of Visit: 09/07/2024 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance         1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?         If N, no further questions require completion.	_
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements         2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?         3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?         4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?         5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?         6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?         7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?	
Arrangements for Fish Health Management 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?	
<ul> <li>9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?</li> <li>10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?</li> <li>11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?</li> </ul>	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?	
Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?	
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?	
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?	
used on tarms in the area or individual tarms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or individual	farms? Y
date when a farm or area may be restor	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and ked? ne or more year classes may be stocked onto sites o	
agreement or statement?	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on a	
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become, or	cease to be, Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or staten of the FMAg/S? 15/03/2023	nent? Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0211	Date of visit: 09/07/2024
Site No:	FS0749	Inspector:
Results Summary	Freg.	Date of Notification

Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Noti	fication		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
							_	
			_				_	
	_		_				_	
			_		_		_	
							_	
					_		_	
	_		_				_	
		_						
		_						

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
ECI, SLI, CNI, VMD	22/07/2024		



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0125

 SITE NO
 FS0749

 CASE NO
 20240211

DATE OF VISIT09/07/2024SITE NAMEHolms GeoINSPECTORInspector

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 22/07/2024

**Fish Health Inspector** 

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)