FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2024-0212			Date of visit: 10/07/2024			
Time spent on site:	5hrs	Main Inspec	tor:			
Site No: FS0608 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Vidlin North Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	reason below:				

#### **Additional Case Information:**

General population of fish were shoaling as expected, responded to external stimuli and had a good feed response. On average 2 runts were observed per pen, but responded to stimuli. There were 4 morts removed across site at time of inspection. It was observed at the 4 most exposed pens at site, slightly increased presence of fish showing physical damage but were healing well. The observed physical damage was contributed by environmental events including extreme weather, low O2 and increased algae. Fish have since had numerous bath treatments.

2 healthy fish were removed from 2 different pens for VMD sampling. During VMD sampling visual inspection was conducted on the fish, fish were observed to be healthy. Sampled VMD fish in withdrawal from Optomease.

FHI 059, Version	on 13			Issued by: F	н		Date of	issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2024-0212		Site No:	FS0608							
Date of Visit:		10/07/2024			Inspector(s):						
Registration/Authorisation Details  1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?  2. Changes made to details?											
Site Details (in	nclude cleane	er fish for all				_					
Total No faciliti		14	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilities i	nspected	14			
Species	SAL										
Age group	2023 S0										
No Fish	482,182										
	4043g										
Mean Fish Wt											
Next Fallow Da	` '	November 2	024	Next Input D	_	July/ August					
Recent (last 4 v	wks) disease	problems?		N	Any escapes	(since last vis	sit)?	N			
If yes, detail:											
1. Movement records available for inspection? 2. Date of last inspection: 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?											
Mortality Reco	ords										
1. Mortality rec			n?					Y			
2. How are mo					Ensiled - on s	site					
If other detail:											
3. Mortality rec	ords complete	and correctle						Y			
wk27- 3798 (0.8%), wk26 - 2411 (0.5%), wk25 - 848 (0.2%), wk24 -1009 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): (0.2%) 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?											
If yes, facility no			no stock per fa	acility/reason:							
wk27 - lice trea			ماء ماء ماء					V			
6. Any other pe				da Elliato				Y			
	w/c 29/01/20							N/A			
7. Have increas		ned) mortalitie	es been repor	ted to vet or i	TI!!			IV/A			
If yes, detail action:  8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.											

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: w/c 03/07/2024 - Salmosan	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed	
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	Y
higher health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to	
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	Y
etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 10/07/2024 - 28/04/2022	

ili 000, version 10								ucu by.				
Case no:	2024-02	212	Site No:		FS0608					10/	07/2024	10/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	-	ig. HI		ı	
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	00:00	13:3	0:00	l	Inspecto	or:		l	VMD N	0.	10
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
dd Fish/Pools - click												
	4	2										
	1	2										
Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Origin Facility No	Barcaldine FS1328	Barcaldine FS1328										
	Case no:  Priority samples:  Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:  Summary samples  dd Fish/Pools - click  Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Case no:  Priority samples:  VI  Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1  Summary samples HIST  dd Fish/Pools - click  Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight 4kg Sex N/A  Water Type SW  Stock Origin 88	Case no:  Priority samples:  VI  Time sampling	Case no:  Priority samples:  VI BA  Time sampling 13:00:00 13:3 starts/ends: Environmental conditions:  Indoors 2  Summary samples HIST BA  dd Fish/Pools - click  Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 Pool Group Species SAL SAL Average weight 4kg 4kg Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW  Stock Origin BA  Site No:  AND BA  Water Type SW SW	Case no:  Priority samples:  VI BA  Time sampling 13:00:00 13:30:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2  Summary samples HIST BA  dd Fish/Pools - click  Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 Pool Group Species SAL SAL Average weight 4kg 4kg Sex N/A N/A N/A  Water Type SW SW  Stock Origin BA  Site No:  13:00:00 13:30:00 13:	Case no:         2024-0212         Site No:         FS0608           Priority samples:         VI         BA         PA           Time sampling starts/ends:         13:00:00         13:30:00         3           Environmental conditions:         1 Indoors         2         3           Summary samples         HIST         BA         MG           dd Fish/Pools - click         MG         MG           Pool/Fish No         Fish nos         1         2         Pool Group           Species         SAL         SAL         SAL         AL           Average weight         4kg         4kg         SE         SE           SW         SW         SW         SW         SW         SW           Stock Origin         SW         SW </th <th>  Priority samples:</th> <th>  Priority samples:   VI</th> <th>  Date of Samplir   Priority samples:   VI</th> <th>  Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/ Sampling:   Priority samples:   VI</th> <th>  Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/ Sampling:   10// Sampling:   HI</th> <th>  Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/   Sampling:   Time sampling   13:00:00   13:30:00   Inspector:   VMD No.   Starts/ends:   Environmental conditions:   Indoors   2   3   4   5  </th>	Priority samples:	Priority samples:   VI	Date of Samplir   Priority samples:   VI	Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/ Sampling:   Priority samples:   VI	Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/ Sampling:   10// Sampling:   HI	Case no:   2024-0212   Site No:   FS0608   Date of visit/   Sampling:   Time sampling   13:00:00   13:30:00   Inspector:   VMD No.   Starts/ends:   Environmental conditions:   Indoors   2   3   4   5

07/2024 Additional Sample Information:														
VMD fish dispatched via optomease and percussion														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0212		Site No:	FS0608		Insp:	
Date of Visit	10/07/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0	]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0	]			0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		15
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0212	Site No:	FS0608
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incl well as access to suitable biological and/or mech	uding deltamethrin,
	ement agreement or statement relevant to the sit	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels bele records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or al that records are inspected?	pove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. e.	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis le longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	ons? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	pplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice lev out in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice ir	ment procedure with waypoints describing set ac nfestation?	tions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	asons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous pro ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	last FHI inspection?
•	•	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		code but could
io. is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	1(5)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0212	Site No: FS0608	
Date of Visit: 10/07/2024	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance  1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require comple	-	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management agree</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm</li> </ol>	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statement	d? Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be introd	duced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any orm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	ers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirelice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to beco	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 27/09/2022	statement? Y

		_				_				
Case No:	2024-0212			Date of visit:	10/07/2024					
Site No:	FS0608			Inanastari						
Sile No.	F30000			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp		
	<u> </u>									
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp							
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	22/07/2024									



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## **SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR**

BUSINESS NO FB0125 DATE OF VISIT 10/07/2024
SITE NO FS0608 SITE NAME Vidlin North

CASE NO 20240212 INSPECTOR

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

# Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

 FS number had not been recorded in the movement record. This was addressed at time of inspection. No further action is required.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)