FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0279			Date of visit: 24/07/2024
Time spent on site: 4	Hours	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: FS0562 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Geocrab Hatchery Bakkafrost Scotland	
Case Types: 1 ECS 2	2CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 17.7	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed Y
Observations:	Region: WI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Upon inspection of the site, fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. No clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection of the stocks. A statutory 30 fish test for VHS and IHN was conducted due to the water temperature being over 14 degrees at the time of inspection. Due to the small fish size, the 30 fish tested were pooled into 6 samples. The site is planning to fallow in August 2024, plans for re-stocking are unknown at present.

One mortality event was reported W/b 08/01/24 due to fungus. The site promptly treated with formalin and mortality returned below the reporting threshold the following week. Fish have recoved well and mortality has remained at low levels since.

All fish removed for sampling presented healthy and free of any signs of disease, both internally and externally.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13	i		Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020			
Case No:	2024-0279		Site No:	FS0562					
Date of Visit:		24/07/2024	.]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site det	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ		
2. Changes made to	o details?						Y		
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities		9	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilitie	es inspected	9	
Species	SAL								
Age group	2024 Q1								
No Fish	57,774								
Mean Fish Wt	12.4g								
Next Fallow Date (S		09/24		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Unknown			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:									
Movement Record	s								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y	
2. Date of last inspe							28/09/2023		
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	?					Y	
4. Are movement re								Y	
5. Are records comp								Y	
6. Are health certific	•	•		able?				N/A	
Transport Records	5								
1. Are any moveme		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			Y	
If yes, is there a sys					_			Y	
Martelite: December									
Mortality Records	avallable for i	ation?						V	
1. Mortality records		•			Engiled on	oito		'	
2. How are mortalities disposed of? Ensiled - on site If other detail: Removed by Billy Bowie									
			orad?					Y	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? Wook 30 (76, 0.08%) Wook 39 (77, 0.08%) Wook 38 (136, 0.14%) Wook 37									
Week 30 (76, 0.08%) Week 29 (77, 0.08%), Week 28 (126, 0.14%), Week 27 (34, 0.04%)									
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?									
If yes, facility nos/no		* *		/reason:					
6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?									
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (unavalained)	mortalities he	on reported to	vot or FHI2				N/A	
,	• •	mortanties be	en reported to) Vet Of Fills				1 1/7 3	
*	If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. Y								
o. Have mortality e	. Have mortality events been reported to First into, enter details on mortality events sneet.								

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 18/10/2022 - 24/07/2024	

	11 000, 10101011 10							.00	aca by.				
	Case no:	2024-02	279	Site No:		FS0562			Date of Samplin		24/0)7/2024	24/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		y. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:2	20:00	14:0	0:00]	Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	0
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG	Y	VI		РА		Total Sa	mples
Δ	dd Fish/Pools - click												
		ln.	Inc	Do	D.4	ln-	Do						
	Pool/Fish No	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6						
	Fish nos	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26-30						
	Pool Group	0.11		0.4.1	0.41	0.11	0.41						
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL						
	Average weight	11.1g	11.1g	11.1g	11.1g		11.1g						
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW						
		<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	u u	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	<u>c</u>						
		Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incubation Jnit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incubation Jnit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incubation Jnit Hatchery (FS1086)	Seocrab Incubatior Jnit Hatchery FS1086)	Geocrab Incubation Jnit Hatchery (FS1086)						
		gn .	ă A	gn A	gn S	gn >	n S						
(0	I	Inc	Inc her	Inc her	Inc her	Inc	Inc her						
Details		ab atcl	अट्टा ३७)	ab atcl	ab atcl 36)	ab atcl	ab atcl 36)						
Dei	1			108 108	108 108		10 H						
	Stock Origin	Geocrab Incu Unit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incu Unit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incu Jnit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incu Unit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incu Unit Hatchery (FS1086)	Geocrab Incu Unit Hatchery (FS1086)						
Stock	Facility No	2	2	<u> </u>	9	2	9	2					
(V)	T domey 140	_		_	_	_	_	_					

O7/2024 Additional Sample Information:									
6 Total Tests	s assigned 3								

	Issued by: FHI			Date	ot issue	: 12/05/2020
2024-0279		Site No:	FS0562		Insp:	
24/07/2024		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
		0	Q	10	26	0
•	,					0
				ļ		6
						3
Trainboi oi dool						
		0				0
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	•	1	4	8		
		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		1	2		0
		0				0
Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		4				
Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Site's own wast	e only processed.	0				
Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
Feeding unpast	eurised feed	5				
	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Yes		0				0
No		1				O
Yes		0				0
No		3				
Yes		0				0
No		2				
				Total Rank		14 LOW
	Frequency of more requency of the requency of	2024-0279 24/07/2024 Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Site contacts Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters No on farm processing Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) Processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Zone or compartment of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm Site's own waste only processed. Common processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms No feeding of unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Sites operating from single shorebase Sites sharing staff and equipment Yes No Yes	2024-0279 24/07/2024 No of movements on from equivalent MS Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country Number of suppliers Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Frequency of movements off Number of destinations Site contacts Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion None Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters O No on farm processing Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) 1 Processing fish from MS of equivalent status Processing fish from Zone or compartment of equivalent status Processing fish from Category III farm Processing fish from Category V farm 10 Site's own waste only processed. Common processes with other farms Collection point for waste from other farms No feeding of unpasteurised feed Feeding unpasteurised feed Sites operating from single shorebase O No No 1 Yes O No O O Yes O No O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Site No: FS0562	Site No: F80562	Site No. FS0562

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2024-027	79	Site No:	FS0562	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater S	Sites Only)			
	ce problems in the previous 4 years?			
•	t Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously	y on a single	year class basis?	
3. Does the site have access to a	range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice mazoate) as well as access to suitable biologic	edications (in	ncluding deltamethrin,	
4. Is there a signed documented fa Management Area (or equivalent)	arm management agreement or statement rel ?	evant to the	site and CoGP Farm	
	able for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex ne required standard specified in the SSI and		Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) recorrecords are inspected? (CoGP Ar	rd levels below the suggested criteria for treat	ment in the C	CoGP during the period that	
	lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at any the period that records are inspected?	a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	
If yes, have these been reported to	o the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see	comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a	level which is considered to cause significant	welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
suggested criteria for treatment or	een administered or other actions taken when where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have where			
11. Has any other action been tak		nan tha lian l	-	
•	r the actions taken had a significant impact u ted, carried out in cooperation between partic	•		
	for the site, where fewer populations or part p			
15. Is there a site specific written I scenarios during the escalation of	ice management procedure with waypoints do a sea lice infestation?	escribing set	actions to deal with recognised	d
16. Do the sea lice levels observe	d on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no	olease detail	reasons.	
Containment Inspection				
_	ment damage due to predators in the current	or previous p	roduction cycles?	N
	ate against the predation experienced on site?	•	·	Υ
	easures in place (Rent - O - Kill)	,	,	
If other, detail below:				
•	ts been experienced on or in the vicinity of th	e site since th	ne last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 4. Have these been reported to So	• •			
· ·	cal DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGF	P = 4437 5	4 17)	
•	e SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (w			
7. Were methods (if any) used to	recover escapees? If yes give detail			
	nis action agreed with local wild fish interests	and was perr	mission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 9. What action was taken to preve	5.4.18) ent and minimise the risk of further escapes?	(Not covered	in code but could	
be considered under satisfactors. 10. Is the site inspected as satisfa	ory measures of the Act) ctory with regards to containment? If no, plea	se detail reas	son(s)	Υ

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS0562

Case No: 2024-0279

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2024-0279			Date of visit:	24/07/2024			
Site No:	FS0562	1						
Site No.	F30362	l		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notificat			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
MG VHS	0/6	31/07/2024		31/07/2024		13/08/2024		
MG IHN	0/6	31/07/2024		31/07/2024		13/08/2024		
	 							
	+							
	† 							
	†							
	↓							
	+							
	+							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECS, CNI	13/08/2024							
	 							
	 							
	+							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 Date of Visit 24/07/2024
Site No FS0562 Site Name Geocrab Hatchery
Case No 20240279 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected.

On this occasion, due to the water temperature being above 14°C, samples were taken to test for the presence of viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

<u>Samples</u>

30 Atlantic salmon were tested for the presence of viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). Samples were collected according to the table below:

Fish number	Pool number	Facility number	Stage	Origin
1-5	1	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)
6-10	2	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)
11-15	3	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)
16-20	4	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)
21-25	5	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)
26-30	6	2	2024 Q1 12.4g	Geocrab Incubation Unit Hatchery (FS1086)

The samples tested **negative** for IHNV and VHSV.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Date: 13/08/2024

R10