FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI		Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2024-0385				Date of visit: 0	8/10/2024		
Time spent on site: 4	nours	M	ain Inspector:				
Site No: FS0254 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Knock Scottish Sea Farm	s Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 VMD	4 5	5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12.4	Thermometer No:	Site	]	FHI 045 complet	ed Y		
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:					

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Current stock due out by end of year, but stock coming down from Girlsta in November.

Some site numbers missing from movement records but resolved during visit.

Ensiled waste removed by Billy Bowie to Barkip.

Spike in mortality in previous stock due to feed issue but below reporting threshold.

Recent morts mostly single figures per tank per day - with the occasional slightly higher. Mainly attributed to fungus.

Regular screening for Furunculosis and ERM conducted.

Glochidia present on gills of one fish in latest samples. Not present in Knock water source.

Site thermometer - ice point check carried out 18/06/2024.

1 dead fish seen across site. Approximately 10 fish with obvious fungus. Fish generally in good condition with normal behaviour observed.

FHI 059, Version 13	<b>;</b>		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2024-0385		Site No:	FS0254				
Date of Visit:		08/10/2024	]		Inspector(s)	):		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site det			ite representa	ative?			Y	7
2. Changes made to	o details?	·	·				Υ	]
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	0.000	26	Facilities sto	ocked	22	No facilitie	es inspected	26
Species	SAL		. c.ccc c.tc	T		110 100 1111	<u> </u>	
Age group	2024 Q4							
No Fish	712,000							
Mean Fish Wt	70g							
Next Fallow Date (S		No plans		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Novembe	r 2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,			•	Any escape			N
If yes, detail:						,	, ,	
Movement Record		- in an a ati a m 2						
1. Movement record		r inspection?					00/44/0004	Ť
2. Date of last inspe		4l41°	,				09/11/2021	
3. Are records comp		•		,				Ī
4. Are movement re								<u> </u>
<ol> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certific</li> </ol>		•		abla?				N/A
o. Ale fiealth certific	ales for introd	iuctions (outw	vitii Gb) avalia	able:				14//
Transport Records	3							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mantalto Dana Ja								
Mortality Records  1. Mortality records	available for i	nsnection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti		•			Ensiled - on	site		<u> </u>
If other detail:	es disposed o				Enolica on	1 0110		
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality	•	corrosily only	Mostly 0-10/	/tank/day				
5. Evidence of recei		tvpical mortal		tariivaay				l N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		r/reason:				
, ,	71	,	, ,					
6. Any other peaks								Y
If yes, detail:				tock <3g at the	e time.			<b>X1</b> /*
7. Have increased (	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	vanta' baan ra	norted to EUI	2 If no onter	dotaile on mar	tality avanta a	shoot		N/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	venus peen re	ported to FHI	: II IIO, enter	u <del>c</del> tails of mon	ianty events s	oneel.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: Aquacen, Cress, TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?  Aquacen	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Desults of Compalliones	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?      If we are results available for inspection?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
<ul><li>2. If yes, are results available for inspection?</li><li>3. Any significant results?</li></ul>	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	14
ii yes, detaii (ii not detailed diidei recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: November 2021 - October 2024	

•	mi 059, version 15							155	ueu by. rn	11			
	Case no:	2024-03	385	Site No:		FS0254			Date of vis Sampling:		08/	10/2024	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling.	ні			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:3	80:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	).	9
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-8	9-14	15-20									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	0.0700	0.0700	0.0700									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW									
Stock Details	Stock Origin Facility No	Barcaldine - Pre-	Inchmore	지 Inverkerry/Ormsary Smolt Unit mix									
Ů.	I acility No	A3	A5	ΓΖ									

Additional Sample Information:														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2024-0385		Site No:	FS0254		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/10/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
ороскоо Станата и постава	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Mayamanta off	ļ.		1 0			10	6
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	s 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0	]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	<u> </u>	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					<b>Total</b> Rank		10 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2024-0385	Site No:	FS0254
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only	1	
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of li	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in swell as access to suitable biological and/or me	ncluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the 0	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( $L.\ sa$ 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the per	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or riod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level whic	h is considered to cause significant welfare prob	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where		
	ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice I	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms	
sea lice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations ar	e neid without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
	age due to predators in the current or previous p	production cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail belo	
Parts of site inside. Nets over outside ta		
If other, detail below:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	xperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since t	he last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk	• •	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min		4.47)
	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	
o. nave these been reported to the 55PO a	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	st)? (COGP = 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	agreed with local wild fish interests and was per	mission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and min	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measured.		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail rea	son(s) Y

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2024-0385			Date of visit:	08/10/2024	4			
Site No:	FS0254	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
							-		
			-				-		
	+								
	†								
	<del>                                     </del>								
	+								
	<b>-</b>								
	+								
Donort Cureros				1					
Report Summary	Date	Inon	and I						
Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD	11/10/2024	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
LOI, CIVI, VIVID	11/10/2024								
	<del>                                     </del>								
	+								
	<del>                                     </del>								
	1								



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 08/10/2024

 Site No
 FS0254
 Site Name
 Knock

 Case No
 20240385
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.



# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 11/10/2024

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>