FHI 059, Version 13	lss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2024-0388			Date of visit: 10/10/2024				
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Inspe	ctor:				
Site No: FS0742 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Glenfinnan Mowi Scotland Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 MIX 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	Т305	FHI 045 completed N/A				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	/ed?	Y If yes, see additional inf N If yes, see additional inf N	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.				

Additional Case Information:

Fish due to transfer to Loch Etive sites and Camas Glas in October/November using lorry and wellboat.

Current stock from Inchmore and Lochailort Recirculation Units (restocked from July 2024 through to October). Site had been fallow since mid-December 2023.

Some of the fish (350,000) were vaccinated on site (triple vaccine - Alphaject micro 1 PD, Alphaject micro 6 and Pasteurella skyensis/ERM) using vaccination machine which can vaccinate 15,000 fish per hour. Majority of stock was vaccinated at Inchmore before transfer.

Mortality peak in previous stock in October/November due to fungus. Normally incinerate on site, but two uplifts of whole fish by Billy Bowie during this period.

Monthly health inspections conducted by Mowi staff. No health issues raised with current stock.

Water dark and difficult to observe fish deeper in pen. Two pens were very active possibly due to predator activity in the vicinity (cormorant observed by site staff). Fish sampled for VMD in excellent condition and appeared healthy.

Seven fish observed across site with evidence of fungal infection. One removed for further examination, but no other clinical signs or pathology observed so no samples taken for diagnostic purposes.

Record & document check, site inspection and VMD sampling conducted by **setup**, observed by **setup** and UKAS assessors

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ied by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020		
Case No:	2024-0388]	Site No:	FS0742]					
Date of Visit:		10/10/2024]		Inspector(s):			1		
Registration/Autho	orisation Def	tails								
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	y checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ			
2. Changes made to details? Y										
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)							
Total No facilities		32	Facilities sto	ocked	32	No facilitie	s inspected	32		
Species	SAL									
Age group	2024 Q4			1						
No Fish	2,956,951									
Mean Fish Wt	82g									
Next Fallow Date (S	site)	Mid Nov 202	4	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Aug 2025				
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N		
If yes, detail:										
Movement Record	s									
1. Movement record	ls available fo	or inspection?						Y		
2. Date of last inspe	ction:						08/11/2023			
3. Are records comp	plete and cor	rectly entered?	?					Y		
4. Are movement re	cords availal	ole for dead fis	h and waste?	?				Y		
5. Are records comp	olete and cor	rectly entered?	?					Y		
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A		
Transport Records	;									
1. Are any movement		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N		
If yes, is there a sys				•						
Mortality Records										
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y		
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed (of?			Incinerated -	on site				
If other detail:					•					
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly ente	ered?					Y		
w/b 9/9/24 - 578 (0.038%), w/b 16/9/24 - 374 (0.025%), w/b 23/9/24 - 492 (0.021%), w/b 30/9/24 - 1,167 (0.039%), w/b 7/10/24 (to 10/10/24) - 459										
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		(0.016%)		(0.000,00),		(10 10/10/21)			
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?										
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:										
6. Any other peaks i	in mortality d	uring period ch	necked?					Y		
	Nov 2023 d	ue to fungus -	peaked at 1.3	33% (19,267) f	or site w/b 17/	/11/2023. To	otal for Novem	ber 73,530		
If yes, detail:	(3.378%)	Ŭ								
7. Have increased (mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A		
If yes, detail action:										
8. Have 'mortality ev	/ents' been re	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	neet.		N/A		

Treatments and Med	icines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	Aquacen, Pyceze, MS2	22	
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records a	available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records comple	te and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdra	wal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatme	nt(s)?	Aquacen, MS222	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines store	d appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
•	available for increation?	Г	V
-	available for inspection?	and are disperal been considered?	1
	• • •	cording and safe disposal been considered?	
	ed) mortality at the site been include	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V
· ·	•		
	ded and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be	esence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V
		ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	
health status, certificat		aked on the familisite been covered (equal of higher	
nealth status, certinea			
-	· · · ·	ented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
		, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals h	eld on site?		
	y procedures been adequately imple	emented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillan	ce		
	lth surveillance been carried out by,	or on behalf of the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results a		or on benan or, the business:	Y
3. Any significant result	•		N
• •	ailed under recent disease problems	s)	
		57.	
		28/04/2021 - 10/10/2024 (Transport, BMP, health sur	veillance).
Re	cords checked between:	8/11/2023 - 10/10/2024 (movements, mortality, media	
		······································	-1

FHI 059, Version 13				lss	sued by: FHI				
Case no:	2024-0388	B Site No:	FS0742	FS0742 Date of visit/ 10/10/2024 10					
					Sampling:				
Priority samples:	VI	BA	PA	MG	н				
Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions	14:30:	00 14:3 doors 2	5:00	Inspector:		VMD No. 2			
Environmental conditions	· ' <u>"</u>	20013			~				
Summary samples	HIST	BA	MG	VI	PA	Total Samples			
Add Fish/Pools - click									
Pool/Fish No									
Fish nos	1-6								
Pool Group									
Species	SAL								
Average weight	0.0800								
Sex	N/A								
Water Type	FW								
	j								
	5								
	ioi								
Details	lat or								
let	ailc								
	Lochailort Recirculation Unit								
Stock Origin Facility No									
B Facility No	16								

FHI 059, Version 13

10/2024	2024 Additional Sample Information:												
0		Total T	anto ano	igned	0	1							
U		Total To	ests ass	igneu	0								
	_												_

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

Case Number:	2024-0388		Site No:	FS0742		Insp:	
Date of Visit	10/10/2024		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mayamanta off		•	0	3	6	10	10
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	rtamber er dee	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion		3			
		or in a coastal zone with category V		3	0		
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0	1			0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				0
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0	İ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	5 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total Rank		14 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2024-0388	Site No:	FS0742
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	
	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	COGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or d that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which i	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. el	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implicat	
	pplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms:	
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	e due to predators in the current or previous p ne predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	
bird nets If other, detail below:		
 Have escape incidents or events been exp If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 	-	ne last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist	•	
•	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir be considered under satisfactory measure	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in es of the Act)	in code but could
•	gards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Site No: FS0742

Case No: 2024-0388

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2024-0388			Date of visit:	10/10/2024			
Site No:	FS0742							
Sile No.	1 30742	1		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary								
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, VMD	14/10/2024							
MIX	16/10/2024							



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0119 SITE NO FS0742 CASE NO 20240388

DATE OF VISIT 10/10/2024 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

Glenfinnan

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Directorate were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

R04



Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 14 October 2024

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot</u> (www.gov.scot)